



# THE CHANGING NUMBERS

## Part 2

Amarillo's Income, Labor Force,  
& Educational Attainment

Income	Transportation	Education	Employment Status	Housing Characteristics
Median Family Income Poverty Status	Place of Work Travel Time	Years Completed Enrollment	Labor Force Class of Worker	Year of Construction Year Moved into Housing Unit

Planning Department  
City of Amarillo, Texas  
January 2013

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	1
GEOGRAPHIC COMPARISONS OF CENSUS DATA .....	1
INCOME .....	2
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME .....	2
LOW AND MODERATE INCOME .....	5
INCOME BY RACE .....	7
POVERTY STATUS .....	7
TRANSPORTATION .....	10
PLACE OF WORK .....	10
MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION.....	10
TRAVEL TIME.....	11
VEHICLES AVAILABLE.....	12
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT.....	13
YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED .....	13
ENROLLMENT .....	14
EMPLOYMENT STATUS .....	14
LABOR FORCE.....	15
CLASS OF WORKER .....	15
INDUSTRY .....	16
MOBILITY AND SELF-CARE LIMITATION.....	16
STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS .....	17
YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION.....	17
NUMBER OF UNITS IN STRUCTURE.....	18
YEAR MOVED INTO HOUSING UNIT.....	19
TYPES OF GROUP QUARTERS .....	20
HOUSING QUALITY.....	20

## MAPS

MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME .....	4
LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES.....	6

## CHARTS & TABLES

MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME .....	2
DISTRIBUTION OF FAMILY INCOME BY INCOME CATEGORIES .....	3
FAMILY INCOME BY RACE .....	7
BELOW POVERTY STATUS .....	8
INDIVIDUALS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE.....	9
INDIVIDUALS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY AGE .....	9
PLACE OF WORK .....	10
MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK.....	11
TRAVEL TIME TO WORK.....	12
PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH VEHICLES AVAILABLE.....	12
CITY OF AMARILLO YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED.....	13
YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY RACE.....	14
AMARILLO MSA CLASS OF WORKER.....	16
MOBILITY STATUS.....	17
YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION.....	18
PERCENTAGE OF HOUSING INVENTORY BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE .....	19

## APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX A</b> (CHANGES IN CENSUS TRACTS BY DECENNIAL CENSUS).....	<b>24</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b> (MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD AND FAMILY INCOME).....	<b>27</b>
<b>APPENDIX D</b> (FAMILY INCOME BY CENSUS TRACT) .....	<b>28</b>
<b>APPENDIX E</b> (HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY CENSUS TRACT) .....	<b>29</b>
<b>APPENDIX F</b> (COMPARISON OF LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES BY CENSUS TRACT).....	<b>30</b>
<b>APPENDIX G</b> (PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE).....	<b>31</b>
<b>APPENDIX H</b> (PERCENTAGE OF AGE GROUP BELOW POVERTY LEVEL).....	<b>31</b>
<b>APPENDIX I</b> (VEHICLES AVAILABLE BY CENSUS TRACT).....	<b>32</b>
<b>APPENDIX J</b> (YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY CENSUS TRACT) .....	<b>33</b>
<b>APPENDIX K</b> (SCHOOL ENROLLMENT BY CENSUS TRACT) .....	<b>34</b>
<b>APPENDIX L</b> (NUMBER OF PERSONS UNEMPLOYED 16 YEARS AND OLDER) .....	<b>35</b>
<b>APPENDIX M</b> (EMPLOYMENT STATUS).....	<b>36</b>
<b>APPENDIX N</b> (NUMBER OF WORKERS IN FAMILY).....	<b>37</b>
<b>APPENDIX O</b> (LABOR FORCE BY GENDER 16 YEARS AND OLDER).....	<b>38</b>
<b>APPENDIX P</b> (FEMALES AGES 16 YEARS AND OVER IN LABOR FORCE WITH OWN CHILDREN) .....	<b>39</b>
<b>APPENDIX Q</b> (AMARILLO EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR).....	<b>40</b>
<b>APPENDIX R</b> (YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION OF AMARILLO HOUSING UNITS).....	<b>40</b>
<b>APPENDIX S</b> (YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION BY CENSUS TRACT) .....	<b>41</b>
<b>APPENDIX T</b> (UNITS IN STRUCTURE BY CENSUS TRACT) .....	<b>42</b>
<b>APPENDIX U</b> (AMARILLO TENURE BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT).....	<b>43</b>
<b>APPENDIX V</b> (CITY OF AMARILLO HOUSING QUALITY INDICATORS) .....	<b>43</b>

## INTRODUCTION

The Changing Numbers, Part II is the second publication issued by the City of Amarillo, which analyzes information relating to income, labor force, educational attainment, and other factors that affect the local economy. Numbers pertaining to the City of Amarillo are emphasized and are often broken down to the census tract level. In several cases, numbers corresponding to the Amarillo Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and both Potter and Randall Counties are also given. Additionally, when census information has been reported on a basis that can be compared, trends may be shown between 2000 and 2010.

This publication is based on information from the 2006-2010 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates as well as the 2000 Census Summary Tape File 3 (STF-3). These data groups are estimates, subject to sampling and non-sampling errors, and are not 100% figures. A sampling error in data arises from the selection of persons and housing units to be included in the sample. A non-sampling error affects both sample and 100-percent data, and is introduced as a result of errors that may occur during the collection and processing phases of the census. Due to sampling types, the ACS and STF-3 data included in this publication will vary slightly from the complete count. Both groups of data are based on statistics and certain comparisons can be made that will determine patterns of change. However, one must be careful not to assume that any one census tract fits the general, city-wide trend. Nationally, approximately one out of every seventeen housing units was included in the 2010 ACS sample.

## GEOGRAPHIC COMPARISONS OF CENSUS DATA

The purpose of this publication is to compare 2010 information with 2000 information. Comparisons have become more complex given that geographic areas as well as sampling sizes have changed for some of the census tracts over the past two decades. An awareness of the geographic changes is critical when comparing the census tracts from decade to decade.

Some census tracts (CT) in the Amarillo area did not cover an adequate population count to warrant being a separate census tract according to the Census Bureau's criteria. These tracts have been combined as described below:

2010 **CT 154** was created by combining 2000 CT 111 and 2000 CT 146.

Some census tracts grew in population over the past two decades and met the Census Bureau's criteria to be subdivided into new census tracts. New census tracts have been created from these original tracts. These changes are described as follows:

2000 CT 144 was divided into 2010 **CT 144.01** and **CT 9800**.

2000 CT 220 was divided into 2010 **CT 220.01** and **CT 220.02**.

2000 CT 211 was divided into 2010 **CT 211.01** and **CT 211.02**.

2000 CT 216.07 was divided into 2010 **CT 216.08** and **CT 216.09**.

2000 CT 217.01 was divided into 2010 **CT 217.03** and **CT 216.04**.

It is realized that these changes are somewhat difficult to understand; however, these changes must be kept in mind when comparing census tract data from one decade to another. For additional clarification on these changes, see Appendices A on pages 24 and 25 and B on page 26.

## INCOME

During the decade of the 2000's, money income rose at a steady rate. Between 2000 and 2010 many variables, including a fluctuating economy, inflation pressures, and increase in the number of workers helped lead to this increase. In addition, many local economic factors also influenced income levels. In particular, a varying agricultural economy and well-rounded business markets played a major role in determining income levels within the community.

The Bureau of the Census has released several different levels of income figures. Among these are per capita income, household income, family income, income by race, and poverty status. The most important income indicator is median income, in which the income distribution is divided into two equal parts, one having incomes above the median and the other having incomes below the median. For households and families, the median income is based on the distribution of the total number of units, including those with no income. When dealing with this information, it is important to note that the income data collected by the American Community Survey and Census reflects income received during the years prior to the census. For example, 2009 annual income is reflected in the 2010 American Community Survey.

### Median Family Income

According to Table 1, Median Family Income, below, the median family income for all geography locations increased over their 2000 census levels. Even though these areas saw increases, the rates of increase were not as great as those experienced during the 1980's. For example, the median family income for both the City of Amarillo and the Amarillo MSA in 2010 slipped below those of the United States and Texas as a whole.

In 2010, the median family income for the 46,368 families in Amarillo was \$55,067. Only Randall County, with a median family income of \$70,592, surpassed that of the state and the nation. It is also important to note that even though each area's income level increased, only a portion of the increases shown in Table 1 represents a gain in real purchasing power. This is due to inflation, the increased cost of living, and an increase in the consumer price index during this period of time.

**Table 1 MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME**

<b>Geography</b>	<b>1980</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2010 (5-yr ACS)</b>
United States	\$19,908	\$35,225	\$50,046	\$62,982
Texas	\$19,619	\$31,553	\$45,861	\$58,142
Potter County	\$17,409	\$24,692	\$35,321	\$43,331
Randall County	\$24,229	\$37,156	\$52,420	\$70,592
Amarillo MSA	\$20,216	\$30,688	\$43,514	\$57,317
City of Amarillo	\$20,100	\$30,144	\$42,536	\$55,067


The continued upward shift of incomes along the entire income scale contributed to the rise in the City's median family income. Table 2, Distribution of Family Income by Income Categories, indicates the percentage of families in various income categories within the City of Amarillo. As can be seen, the number of families in 2010 with incomes less than \$15,000 has steadily declined since 1980, down to 9% by 2010. Those families with yearly incomes between \$15,000 and \$49,999 have decreased from 46% in 2000 to 36% in 2010. Consequently, families making \$50,000 or more per year has increased from 41% in 2000 to 55% in 2010. Distribution of Family Income by Income Categories by census tract for 2010 are detailed in Appendix D, on page 28, while household income levels by census tract are shown in Appendix E, on page 29.

**Table 2 DISTRIBUTION OF FAMILY INCOME BY INCOME CATEGORIES**

<b>Amarillo Annual Income</b>	<b>1980</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2010 (5-yr ACS)</b>
Under \$10,000	17%	12%	7%	6%
\$10,000 - \$14,999	16%	9%	6%	3%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	33%	20%	13%	11%
\$25,000 - \$49,999	29%	38%	33%	25%
\$50,000 and over	5%	21%	41%	55%


- Maps 1A and 1B, Median Family Income for 2000 and 2010, on page 4, indicate slight variations in census tracts with median-family incomes both above and below the city-wide median income. Both 2000 and 2010 maps illustrate that a majority of higher income families live in Randall County, while families with lower incomes live in Potter County. A more detailed look at the 2010 median household income and median family income levels by census tract can be found in Appendix C, on page 27.

**Median Family Income**



**Legend**

- City Limits
- County Line
- Median Family - Income Below Amarillo Median
- Median Family - Income 10% Higher Than Amarillo Median



## Low and Moderate Income

Income data obtained from the Bureau of the Census is utilized by many public and private agencies. At the local level, the data is most commonly used for current and long-range forecasting as well as traditional planning activities. Data provided at the national level is intended for estimating the distribution of federal funds for various programs. In particular, the Federal government uses income data to determine the distribution of aid to low and moderate income families.


The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) defines low and moderate income as being 80% or less of the median family income for the Amarillo MSA. Very low income is defined as 50% or less of the median family income for the MSA. The corresponding income figures for Amarillo have been calculated as follows for 2000 and 2010:

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>
Amarillo MSA Median Family Income	\$ 43,514	\$ 57,317
Low/Mod Family Income	\$ 34,811	\$45,854
Low Family Income	\$ 21,757	\$28,659

Maps 2A and 2B, Low and Moderate Income Families for 2000 and 2010, on page 6, illustrate changes in the proportion of low and moderate income families by census tracts over the past decade. Of the forty-six census tracts that kept the same boundary between 2000 and 2010, twenty-six increased the proportion of families with low and moderate incomes while twenty remained unchanged. These locations correspond to older, inner-city neighborhoods or areas that have a high concentration of apartment complexes and/or rental property. Five census tracts fared better in 2010 than in 2000. Caution must be taken when examining these tracts.

Appendix F, located on page 30, compares low and moderate income families at the census tract level by detailing the number of low and moderate income families between 2000 and 2010. Citywide, the percent of low income families decreased slightly from 26% in 2000 to 20% in 2010. However, the percentage of low to moderate income families increased from 40% in 2000 to 45% in 2010.

**Low & Moderate Income Families**



## Income by Race

Family incomes when examined by race/ethnicity indicate that differences exist between the major categories. Table 3 Family Income by Race, below, reveals these differences.

Noticeably, American Indians and Blacks had the highest percentage of families (20% each) with an annual income below \$10,000. These population groups are followed by persons of Hispanic origin at 13%. Records indicate that approximately 38% of families with Hispanic origin, 34% of Blacks, 33% of American Indians, and 31% of Asian or Pacific Islanders had annual incomes between \$10,000 and \$34,999. Approximately 43% of Asian or Pacific Islander families claimed annual income levels between \$35,000 and \$74,999. While 37% of white families annual income exceeded \$75,000. Approximately 50% of white families within the City claimed an annual income above the median family income of \$55,067. Conversely, 33% of Asian or Pacific Islander families, 31% of Blacks, 29% of American Indians, and 22% of Hispanic families claimed annual incomes above the City's median family income level.

**Table 3 FAMILY INCOME BY RACE (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Amarillo Annual Income	Total	White	Black	American Indian	Asian Pacific Islander	Hispanic Origin
Less than \$10,000	6%	5%	20%	20%	5%	13%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	3%	3%	8%	7%	6%	4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	5%	4%	7%	5%	10%	9%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	5%	5%	7%	12%	3%	10%
\$25,000 to \$29,999	5%	5%	3%	7%	8%	6%
\$30,000 to \$34,999	6%	5%	9%	2%	4%	9%
\$35,000 to \$39,999	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%
\$40,000 to \$44,999	5%	4%	2%	6%	11%	6%
\$45,000 to \$49,999	5%	5%	4%	2%	7%	5%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	9%	10%	6%	6%	9%	9%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	12%	13%	11%	2%	12%	8%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	15%	16%	12%	6%	7%	8%
\$100,000 to \$124,000	8%	9%	2%	9%	8%	3%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	4%	4%	2%	0%	3%	2%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	3%	4%	3%	5%	0%	1%
\$200,000 or more	3%	4%	1%	7%	3%	0%

## Poverty Status

Poverty status is determined for all persons excluding unrelated individuals under age 15, individuals living in college dormitories, military barracks, and those institutionalized in group quarters. The same poverty thresholds are used throughout the United States and are updated annually in order to account for inflation, using the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U). In 2010, the nation's average poverty threshold for a family of four (two adults and two children) was \$22,113.

Following the Bureau of Census definition of poverty income, nearly 13% of the families in Amarillo had incomes below the poverty level in 2010. This number compares to 11% who were below the poverty level in the 2000 Census. In addition, almost 15% of the households and 17% of individuals in Amarillo were below poverty levels in 2010. Table 4, Below Poverty Status, shown below indicates the percentage of individuals, households, and families who were below the poverty line in 2010. As can be seen, Potter County consistently had the highest below poverty level percentages while Randall County consistently had the lowest.


**Table 4 BELOW POVERTY STATUS (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Geography	Individuals		Households		Families	
	Number	% of all persons	Number	% of all households	Number	% of all families
Potter County	20,456	22.7%	8,156	19.7%	5,090	18.7%
Randall County	8,554	9.4%	4,603	10.0%	2,079	6.6%
Amarillo MSA	29,010	16.0%	12,759	14.6%	7,169	12.2%
City of Amarillo	23,727	16.7%	10,301	14.7%	5,981	12.9%


When Amarillo's poverty status is examined by an individual's race, Blacks have the largest percentage of the population with annual incomes below the poverty level. Graph 1, Individuals Below Poverty Level by Race, on page 9, details the percentage of poverty status by race. Blacks account for 36.5% of Amarillo's poverty levels, nearly 9% above the next highest group, individuals of Hispanic origin. Following the Hispanics are American Indians, Eskimos, or Aleuts, and persons of other races with 24% and 23.7%, respectively. Appendix G, Persons Below Poverty Level by Race, on page 31, provides the number of persons below the poverty level, by race, for the City of Amarillo, Potter County, Randall County, and the Amarillo MSA.

When the City of Amarillo is analyzed by age group, 15% of the population between 18 and 64 years of age are below the poverty level. Graph 2, Individuals Below Poverty Level by Age on page 9, displays the percentage of people below the poverty level by age group. Appendix H, on page 31, details the number of persons below the poverty level, by age, for the City of Amarillo, Potter County, Randall County, and the Amarillo MSA.

**Graph 1 INDIVIDUAL BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE (2006-2010 ACS Data)**



**Graph 2 INDIVIDUALS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY AGE (2006-2010 ACS Data)**



## TRANSPORTATION

Data obtained from the census is critical in helping to determine future city-wide transportation needs. These needs are based on three primary factors: expansion of urban land use, increased motor vehicle ownership, and population. By examining census data such as vehicle availability, means of transportation, and place of work, patterns and trends affecting transportation needs become apparent. In addition, travel demand (specifically that of single-occupancy private vehicles traveling between two places) can be mathematically projected based on census data. As a result of this, street and highway improvements can be timed and phased for construction.

### Place of Work

Data relating to place of work was tabulated for all workers 16 years of age and over. The data provided for place of work refers to the geographic location at which the worker carried out his or her occupational activities during the week. If a person worked at more than one location during the week, the place of work was determined by the location where he or she worked the greatest number of hours.

According to data obtained from the Census Bureau, a majority of Amarillo employees work very close to home. 83.3% of the workers over the age of 16 work within the City Limits while the remaining 16.7% work elsewhere. Of the population living in Potter and Randall Counties, 57.3% work within the county of residence while 42.1% work outside of it. Table 5, Place of Work, details the actual number of workers by location of employment as well as their corresponding percentages.

**Table 5 PLACE OF WORK\* (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Place of Work	No. of Workers	Percentage
<b>Total:</b>	<b>90,184</b>	
Worked in State of Residence	89,647	99.4%
Worked outside State of Residence	537	0.6%
Worked in County of Residence	50,729	56.2%
Worked outside County of Residence	38,918	43.2%
Worked in City of Residence	75,118	83.3%
Worked outside City of Residence	15,066	16.7%
<b>Total MSA (Potter &amp; Randall Counties):</b>	<b>113,968</b>	
Worked in County of Residence	65,290	57.3%
Worked outside County of Residence	47,941	42.1%

\* Workers 16 Years of age and over

### Means of Transportation


The Census Bureau describes the means of transportation as the principal mode of travel, by distance rather than time, a worker usually used to get from home to work during a week. If a person used a

different means of transportation on different days of the week, the type of transportation used most often was tabulated. Also, if more than one type of transportation was used to get to work, the type of transportation used for the longest distance during the work trip was tabulated.

According to Graph 3, Means of Transportation to Work, the number of workers in Amarillo, ages 16 and over, who drove cars, trucks, or vans to work mirrors those of the last decade. In 2000 as well as 2010, 82% of workers in Amarillo drove alone to work while 13% carpooled. Of the workers who did carpool to work, the private vehicle occupancy rates for cars, trucks, and vans are broken down below:

<u>2000 Census Data</u>		<u>2006-2010 ACS Data</u>	
Two Person Carpool	76%	Two Person Carpool	74%
Three Person Carpool	15%	Three Person Carpool	15%
Four or more	9%	Four or more	11%

**Graph 3 MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK**



**Travel Time**

Travel time to work refers to the total number of minutes that it regularly takes a person to get from home to work during the week, including time spent waiting for public transportation, picking up passengers in carpools, and time spent on other activities related to the commute to work. Statistics

regarding travel time to work may be helpful in determining areas of traffic congestion, how traffic flows through a city, and planning for future transportation needs. Because Amarillo has adequate access by way of Interstate 40, Interstate 27, and US Highway 287, distance to work is more accurately measured in minutes rather than miles. According to Table 6, Travel Time to Work, 88% of Amarillo's workers travel less than 30 minutes to their jobs, with the majority traveling between 10 and 14 minutes. Relatively few workers travel longer than 30 minutes.

**Table 6 TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Commute Time	City of Amarillo percentages
Less than 10 Minutes	20%
10-14 Minutes	26%
15-19 Minutes	24%
20-24 Minutes	15%
25-29 Minutes	3%
30-34 Minutes	5%
35-44 Minutes	2%
45-59 Minutes	2%
60 Or More Minutes	3%
Worked at Home	2%

**Vehicles Available**

This section relates to the number of passenger cars, vans, and pickup or panel trucks of one-ton capacity or less that are kept at home and available for use by a household member. Vehicles rented or leased for one month or more, company vehicles, and government vehicles are included if they are kept at home and used for non-business purposes. Dismantled or immobile vehicles are excluded, as are vehicles kept at home but used only for business purposes.

Table 7, Percentages of Households with Vehicle Available, details the percentage of workers 16 years of age and over in households who own vehicles. Within the City of Amarillo, the majority of households (44.7%) own two vehicles while 3% of households do not own a vehicle. Additional information relating to vehicle availability, by census tract, is detailed in Appendix I, on page 32.

**Table 7 PERCENTAGES OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH VEHICLES AVAILABLE (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Geography	None	One	Two	Three or more
City of Amarillo	3.1%	23.7%	44.7%	28.5%
Potter County	3.6%	25.2%	41.2%	30.0%
Randall County	2.2%	18.8%	45.7%	33.3%
Amarillo MSA (Potter & Randall Counties)	2.9%	22.0%	43.5%	31.6%

## EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT


Education is a key indicator of a city's quality of life. A knowledgeable and skilled population can provide valuable ideas, participation, and problem-solving. In addition, an educated population can command a higher income, which results in more purchasing power and a higher standard of living. When this occurs it is not only the individual, but the entire community that benefits.

### Years of School Completed

The 2000 and 2010 Census Bureau statistics show that Amarillo's level of education attained each decade is similar. In 2000, 20% of Amarillo's population age 25 and over had not received their high school diploma. This number decreased to 18% in 2010. On the other hand, 80% (85,485) of Amarillo's residents age 25 and over received their high school diploma in 2000, and 82% (101,320) received their high school diploma in 2010.

In 2000, 33% of Amarillo's population age 25 and over attended 1-3 years of college. By 2010, 34% had attended 1-3 years of college. Most noticeable, is the percent gain in persons who graduated from a four-year college. In 2000, this amounted to 21% of Amarillo's population age 25 and over. By 2010, 22% had graduated from a four-year college. Graph 4, City of Amarillo Years of School Completed, below, details the education level attained by persons 25 years and over while Appendix J, Year of School Completed, on page 33, examines it by census tract. As can be seen, the residents of Amarillo are increasing their level of education with many high school graduates pursuing a college education whereas in the past, many people were content with earning a high school diploma.

**Graph 4 CITY OF AMARILLO YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED\***



*\*Individuals age 25 and over*

When educational attainment is examined by race, it is noticeable that whites, age 25 and over, have achieved the highest educational levels. Over 65% of whites have attended some college, while individuals of two or more races follow with 49% and Black or African Americans with 48%. Asians and some other race alone have the lowest levels with only 19% and 28% attending some college, respectively. Conversely, Hispanics and individuals of some other race alone have the highest percentage of individuals without a high school diploma. Asians, Hispanics and individuals of some other race alone have the lowest level of educational attainment when years of college are examined. Nearly 6% of these ethnic groups have four or more years of college education. Table 8, Years of School Completed by Race, below, details various educational levels.

**Table 8 YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY RACE\* (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Race	Less than high school diploma	High school diploma	Some college or associate's degree	Bachelor's degree or higher
White	7,787 (10%)	19,614 (24%)	30,094 (38%)	22,232 (28%)
Black or African American	1,232 (16%)	2,694 (36%)	2,629 (35%)	988 (13%)
American Indian, Eskimo, or Aleut	183 (24%)	233 (31%)	214 (29%)	120 (16%)
Asian or Pacific Islander	0 (0%)	89 (81%)	14 (13%)	7 (6%)
Hispanic or Latino origin	10,862 (42%)	7,078 (28%)	6,226 (24%)	1,436 (6%)
Some other race alone	3,303 (43%)	2,275 (29%)	1,759 (23%)	380 (5%)
2 or more races	522 (26%)	506 (25%)	641 (31%)	375 (18%)

*\*Individuals age 25 and over*

### **Enrollment**

In 2010, 50,566 individuals, ages 3 and over, within the City of Amarillo were enrolled in school. This number is over 26% of the City's total population. Of this number, 3,668 students were enrolled in preschool, 3,015 in Kindergarten, 21,289 in first thru eighth grades, 10,955 in high school, and 11,639 in college or graduate school. Of the different scholastic levels, college enrollment saw a significant increase during the past decade. In 2000, there were only 9,641 residents enrolled in college. It should be noted that school enrollment figures were collected from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010. For more detailed information, Appendix K, on page 34, contains school enrollment by census tract.

### **EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

A good indicator of the strength of a community's economy is the status of its labor force. In 2010, the City of Amarillo had 142,081 residents over the age of 16. Of this number, 97,515 (69%) were in the civilian labor force while 44,408 (31%) were not. Of those who were in the civilian labor force, 92,114 (94%) were employed and 5,401 (6%) were unemployed. 55% of the employed were male while 45% were female. These numbers differ slightly from 2000, when approximately 66% were in the labor force while 34% were not. Appendix L, on page

35, details the number of persons per census tract who were unemployed by gender while Appendix M, on page 36, shows employment status by area.

### **Labor Force**

The City of Amarillo has 41,175 families with one or more workers in the labor force. This number contrasts with the 5,193 (11%) families that have no workers. When examining the families with one or more workers, 55% have two or more workers while 31% have just one. As can be seen, the two worker family is by far the most dominant type of family in Amarillo. Appendix N, Number of Workers in Family, on page 37, examines this breakdown by census tract.

When examined by race in 2010, Amarillo's labor force is uniformly distributed with over 60% of the following races being employed: Whites, Black or African American, Asian or Pacific Islanders, Hispanic or Latinos as well as individuals of some other race. However, the races with highest unemployment rates also include Black or African Americans at 11%, Asian or Pacific Islanders at 13%, as well as American Indians at 15%.

Over the past few decades the number of females in Amarillo's labor force has grown steadily. In 1980, 1990, and 2000, 42%, 46%, and 47% of the labor force was made up of women, respectively. By 2010, there were 47,560 females in Amarillo's labor force. This accounts for nearly 49% of the entire city's labor force. Appendix O, 2010 Labor Force By Gender per Census Tract, on page 38, details this increase.

Of the 47,560 females in Amarillo's labor force, 21,725 (45%) had dependent children of their own. Approximately 52% of these females had school age children (6-17 years), while 20% had at least one child under the age of 6, and 28% had children solely under the age of six. This latter percentage is important because it is a good indicator of the number of households for which day care may need to be provided. Appendix P, Females in Labor Force with Own Children 2010, on page 39, specifies by census tract, the number of females with children under 18 years of age.

### **Class of Worker**

Class of worker categorizes persons according to the type of ownership of their employing organization. Upon examining the Amarillo MSA's class of workers, it becomes apparent that changes have occurred during the past decade. The percent of private wage and salary workers increased from 74% in 2000 to 77% in 2010. Conversely, the percent of self-employed workers decreased from 10% in 2000 to 7% in 2010. Table 9, Amarillo MSA Class of Worker, on page 16, details these changes from 1990 to 2010.

**Table 9 AMARILLO MSA CLASS OF WORKER (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Class	1990		2000		2010	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Private Wage and Salary Worker	66,683	75.9%	77,209	74.3%	92,799	77.2%
Federal and State Government Worker	7,637	8.7%	9,059	8.7%	18,747	15.6%
Local Government Worker	5,283	6.0%	6,614	6.4%	**	**
Self-Employed Worker	7,777	8.9%	10,819	10.4%	8,462	7.0%
Unpaid Family Worker	475	0.5%	150	0.1%	143	0.1%

*\*\* 2006-2010 ACS data provided Amarillo MSA data as all government workers categorized together*

## INDUSTRY

Employment for the City of Amarillo when examined by economic sector has also changed during the past decade. Specifically, the number of persons working in agriculture, construction, manufacturing, transportation, communications, and wholesale trade has slightly decreased during the past ten years. This decrease has been countered by a small increase in the number of persons working in finance, business, personal services, entertainment, health services and public administration. Appendix Q, Employment by Economic Sector, on page 40, details this change in workers during the past decade.

## MOBILITY AND SELF-CARE LIMITATION

A person is defined as having a mobility limitation if he or she has a health condition that has lasted for six or more months, and this health condition makes it difficult for the person to go outside the home alone. Examples of outside activities include going out for entertainment, shopping, or visiting the doctor's office.

Similarly, a person is identified as having a self-care limitation if he or she has a health condition that has lasted for six or more months, and this health condition makes it difficult for the person to take care of their own personal needs, such as dressing, bathing, or getting around inside the home. The health conditions mentioned above can be either mental or physical, but cannot include temporary health problems such as broken bones or colds.

People with such health conditions often have a difficult time meeting day-to-day challenges and/or using public transportation. Because of this, the 2010 Census gathered information on these limitations so that the public and private sectors could plan for and provide special services for the elderly and physically challenged. As a result of this, more alternatives are becoming available for these special populations.

Within the City of Amarillo, 8,549 people have mobility and/or self-care limitations. This is approximately 6% of the population age 16 and over. Of the people with limitations, 76% are between the ages of 16 and 64, while 24% are over 65 years of age. Within the Amarillo MSA, 57% of the persons with mobility and/or self-care limitations live in Potter County, while 39% live in Randall County. Table 10, Mobility and Self-Care Limitation Status, on page 17, examines limitation status for both counties, the City, and the Amarillo MSA.

**Table 10 MOBILITY STATUS (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Age and Category		City of Amarillo	Potter County	Randall County	Amarillo MSA
16-64 Years	Mobility and/or Self-Care Limitation	6,528	4,573	2,923	7,707
	No Limitation	111,951	71,666	73,149	146,479
65 Years and over	Mobility and/or Self-Care Limitation	2,021	1,513	1,220	2,847
	No Limitation	20,077	13,056	11,560	25,845
Total With Mobility and/or Self-Care Limitation Status		8,549	6,086	4,143	10,554

## STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS

The Amarillo housing supply is dynamic. Within the community, new units are constantly being added to the housing stock while old units are removed. As this occurs, the characteristics that define the housing units are impacted in various ways. Changes occur in the type, the number of units, age, and the quality of each structure.

### Year of Construction

Information concerning the year a structure was built refers to when a building was first constructed, not when it was remodeled, added to, or converted. In calculating housing units under construction, all exterior windows, doors, and final usable floors had to be in place prior the Census Bureau's tabulation. For a manufactured home or mobile home, the manufacturer's model year is assumed to be the year built.

The age of a housing unit can reveal many different things. Older housing units sometimes lack modern conveniences and many times need repairs with more frequent maintenance. Newer housing units are often of higher quality and thus need fewer repairs and less upkeep.

Table 11, Year of Construction, on page 18, indicates 6% of Amarillo's housing stock (5,058 units) has been constructed since 2005 and can be considered as fairly new. 7% of the City's housing stock was constructed during the 1990's, while the majority of the city's housing stock (19%) was constructed in the 1950's. Only 6% (4,566 units) of the city's housing stock were constructed prior to 1940.

**Table 11 YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION**

Year of construction	1990 Census		2000 Census		2010 ACS data (1-yr)	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
2005 to 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5,058	6%
2000 to 2004	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6,009	8%
1990 to 1999	N/A	N/A	5,850	8%	5,859	7%
1980 to 1989	11,344	16%	10,545	15%	11,479	14%
1970 to 1979	13,134	19%	13,999	19%	12,252	15%
1960 to 1969	12,805	19%	12,729	18%	12,916	16%
1950 to 1959	16,421	24%	16,047	22%	15,138	19%
1940 to 1949	8,625	13%	7,179	10%	6,761	8%
1939 or Earlier	6,263	9%	5,983	8%	4,566	6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>68,592</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>72,332</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>80,038</b>	<b>99%</b>

The housing attrition rate for the City of Amarillo can be obtained by comparing the 2010 numbers to the 2000 and 1990 numbers in Table 11. As can be seen, in 1990 there were 6,263 structures in the City that were constructed in 1939 or earlier. By 2010 this number had declined to 4,566 units. This loss of 1,697 units can be attributed to demolition, movement of the unit to outside the city limits, or conversion to a use other than residential. Attrition rates for the remaining yearly segments can be obtained by following the same procedure.

It should be noted that an unusual circumstance exists in the data reported in 1990 and 2010 for residential structures built between 1960 and 1989. Census figures for 1990 indicate that 12,805 units were constructed between 1960 and 1969, while 2010 Census figures indicate that 12,916 units were constructed during the same decade. Also, 1990 census figures indicated that 13,134 units were constructed between 1970 and 1979, while 2000 census figures indicate that 13,999 units were constructed during this same decade. These discrepancies of 111 units and 865 units respectively may be the possible result of either sampling errors during the calculation phases or undercounts by the Census. For further information on the age of housing units, Appendix R, Construction Year of Housing Units, on page 40, details the age of Amarillo's units by tenure using 2006-2010 ACS Census data while Appendix S, on page 41, breaks down the data by census tract.

### **Number of Units in Structure**

A housing structure is a separate building that has either open spaces on all of its sides or is separated from other structures by dividing walls that extend from ground to roof. In order to determine the number of units in a structure, all housing units, both occupied and vacant, are counted. Stores and office space are excluded.

According to the 2006-2010 American Community Survey data, approximately 74% of the year-round housing units in the City of Amarillo were single family housing units. The next largest percentage of structures (7%) were apartment structures with 20 or more units, while apartment structures with 10 to 19 units accounted for 5% of the housing stock in Amarillo. Table 12, Percentage of Housing Inventory by Units in Structure, below, further details the housing inventory by units while Appendix T, on page 42, examines the Number of Units in a Structure by census tract.

**Table 12 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSING INVENTORY BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

Unit Type	City of Amarillo	Potter County	Randall County	Amarillo MSA
Single Family Detached	71%	67%	71%	70%
Single Family Attached	3%	3%	3%	2%
Duplex (2 Units)	3%	4%	1%	2%
3 or 4 units	3%	3%	2%	3%
5 to 9 units	4%	4%	4%	4%
10 to 19 units	5%	4%	5%	4%
20 or more units	7%	6%	6%	6%
Mobile Home	4%	9%	8%	9%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%

### **Year Moved Into Housing Unit**

The year moved into a housing unit refers to the year of the latest move by a householder. If a householder moved back into a housing unit he or she had previously occupied, the year of the latest move is counted. If a householder moved from one apartment to another in the same building, the year the householder moved into the present apartment is used. The intent is to establish the year in which present occupancy by the current householder began. It is important to remember that the year the householder moved in is not necessarily the same year that other members of the household moved, although an entire household often moves at the same time.

Of the occupied units within the City in 2010, approximately 5% have been occupied by the same householder since 1969 or earlier. About 22% moved into their current units between 2000 and 2004, while 43% moved in between 2005 and 2010.

Renters are a more mobile group than their owner counterparts. Approximately 91% of all renter-occupied units had new occupants move in between 2000 and 2010. This compares to 50% of the owners who moved into their homes during the same time frame. Furthermore, 50% of the owner-occupied units have had the same householder since before 2000 while only 9% of the renter-occupied households have lived

in their units since before 2000. Additional data on year moved into housing unit, by tenure, for the City of Amarillo is given in Appendix U, on page 43.

### **Types of Group Quarters**

Persons not living in households are classified by the Census Bureau as living in group quarters. Two general categories of persons in group quarters are recognized: "institutionalized persons" and "other persons in group quarters."

The group "institutionalized persons" includes persons who are under formally authorized supervised care or custody in institutions. Such persons are classified as patients or inmates, and are generally restricted to institutionalized buildings and grounds, thus having limited interaction with the surrounding community. Examples of institutions where these individuals are housed include correctional institutions, nursing homes, hospitals for the chronically ill, and detention centers.

The group "other persons in group quarters" includes all persons who live in group quarters other than institutions. Examples of group quarters include college/university group housing, military quarters, religious group quarters, and emergency or transitional shelters for homeless individuals. Other persons also include those individuals who are homeless and live in street locations.

The number of persons residing in group quarters in Amarillo decreased 18.5% between 2000 and 2010. In 2000 there were 2,309 people living in group quarters. In 2010, this number had decreased to 1,881 persons. The 2010 breakdown of persons residing in group quarters within the City is:

<u><i>Institutionalized Persons:</i></u>		<u><i>Other Persons:</i></u>	
Correctional Facilities for Adults	371	College/University student housing	0
Juvenile Facilities	29	Military Quarters	0
Nursing Facilities/Skilled-nursing Facilities	1,019	Other Non-institutional Facilities	462
Other Institutional Facilities	0		
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,419</b>		<b>462</b>

### **HOUSING QUALITY**

The Changing Numbers Part I, reference was made to housing and certain conditions, which indicate housing quality. Some of the previously mentioned indicators included housing value, age of the structure, number of units in the structure, and whether or not the structure was overcrowded. In addition to these indicators, the Bureau of the Census has provided detailed data relating to house heating fuel, kitchen facilities, telephone service availability, and plumbing facilities.

---

**Source of Water** - A housing unit can receive its water from a variety of sources. A common source that supplies water to five or more units was classified as a "public system or private company." If the water was supplied from a well serving four or fewer housing units, the units were classified as having water supplied by either an "individual drilled well" or an "individual dug well." The category "some other source" included water obtained from springs, creeks, rivers, lakes, or cisterns.

**Sewage Disposal** - A housing unit may dispose of its sewage in one of three ways. The unit can be connected to a public sewer, to a septic tank, or it can dispose of its sewage by some other means. For a housing unit to be connected to a septic tank, the unit must be provided with an underground tank for sewage disposal.

**House Heating Fuel** – This is the fuel used most to heat the house, manufactured home, or apartment.

**Kitchen Facilities** – A unit has complete kitchen facilities when it has all of the following: an installed sink with piped water; a range, cook top and convection or microwave oven, or cook stove; and a refrigerator. All kitchen facilities must be located in the structure but not necessarily in the same room. Portable cooking equipment is not considered to be a range or cook stove, and an icebox is not considered to be a refrigerator.

**Telephone Service Availability** – A telephone must be in working order and service available in the house, apartment, or manufactured home allowing the respondent to make and receive calls for the unit to be classified as having a telephone. Units where a telephone was located within the building but not in the respondent's living quarters were classified as having no telephone.

**Plumbing Facilities** – Complete plumbing facilities include hot and cold piped water, a flush toilet and a bathtub or shower. All three facilities must be located inside the house, apartment or manufactured home but not necessarily within the same room.

Appendix V, on page 43, provides summary information on the various housing quality indicators in Amarillo for 2010. Since the above data is not frequently used by a large number of individuals or agencies, these indicators are not detailed in this publication.

## SUMMARY

All 2000 data was taken from Census 2000 while the 2010 data used in this publication has been taken from the 2006-2010 American Community Survey (ACS) unless otherwise noted. The census counts for various geographies of the City of Amarillo, census tracts within the City of Amarillo, Amarillo Metropolitan Statistical Area, Potter and Randall counties, State of Texas, United States of America can be obtained from the census 2010 gateway at "[www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)".

The Changing Numbers, Part II has analyzed changes in income, labor force, educational attainment, and other factors which effect the local economy. Among the significant findings:

- The City of Amarillo and the Amarillo MSA had 2010 median family incomes of \$55,067 and \$57,317, respectively. Both median family incomes were below the State of Texas and United States median family income levels.
- The proportion of low income families in Amarillo decreased from 26% in 2000 to 20% in 2010. During the same decade, the proportion of low and moderate-income families increased from 40% to 45%.
- The White population had the largest percentage (37%) of families with annual incomes over \$75,000 while the American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut as well as the Black population had the highest percentage (20% each) of families with annual incomes below \$10,000.
- 13% of the families in Amarillo had 2010 incomes below the poverty level compared to the 11% who were below the poverty level in 2000.
- The number of Amarillo's workers who carpooled to work in 2010 remains the same (13%) as in 2000. Also, the number of people driving alone to work remained at 82% for 2000 and 2010.
- 88% of Amarillo's workers travel less than 30 minutes to their jobs, while the majority traveled between 10 and 14 minutes.
- 45% of the households within the City have at least two vehicles available for use, while 3% of the households within the City do not have any automobiles.
- In 2010, 82% of Amarillo's residents age 25 or over had graduated from high school. This compares to the 80% who had received their high school diploma in 2000.
- In 2010, 34% of Amarillo's population age 25 and over had attended 1-3 years of college. This compares to the 33% who had attended 1-3 years of college in 2000.
- Over 26% of the City's population was enrolled in a public or private school or college at the time of the 2010 Census.
- In 2010, the City of Amarillo had 97,515 persons in the civilian labor force. The number of women in the labor force fell from 47% in 2000 to 45% in 2010.
- Within the City of Amarillo, 8,549 people had mobility and/or self-care limitations. This is approximately 6% of the population age 16 and over.

- Of the 80,038 housing units in Amarillo, 14% were constructed since 2000, 7% were built between 1990-1999, 14% were built between 1980-1989, 15% were built between 1970-1979, 16% were built between 1960-1969, and 33% were constructed prior to 1960.
- Approximately 74% of the housing stock in Amarillo was made up of single-family units. Duplex units comprised 3% of the housing stock, apartment complexes with 3 or more units comprised 19%, and manufactured or mobile homes made up 4% of Amarillo's housing stock.
- In 2010, there were 1,881 persons living in group quarters. Of this number, 1,419 were "institutionalized persons" and 462 were considered "other persons".

**CHANGES IN CENSUS TRACTS 2000 TO 2010**

**APPENDIX A**

The diagram below is to aid in clarifying geographic changes in census tracts between 1990 and 2010. This may be helpful when comparing data from decade to decade.

The Appendix tables denote changes with footnotes as follows:

- \* Census Tract did not exist during this Census.
- \*\* The geographic area of this census tract has changed over the decades. The diagram below details these changes.
- \*\*\* Due to the changes in the geographic area of this census tract, simple comparisons from decade to decade are not possible. Comparisons can be made, however, by combining data as indicated in the diagram below.


*Changes in Census Tracts by Decennial Census*

<u>1990</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>
128.98	128	128
133 (portion west of Western St.)	133	133
144	144	144.01 9800
108 109	145	145
112 (north part) 113 (north part) 114 (north part)	146 111	154
105 112 (south part) 113 (southmost part) 114 (south part)	147	147
121 129	148	148


<u>1990</u>		<u>2000</u>		<u>2010</u>
123	→	149	→	149
125				
140	→	150	→	150
127				
128.97				
135	→	151	→	151
136				
137	→	152	→	152
138				
131	→	153	→	153
133				
(portion east of Western St.)				
211	→	211	→	211.01
				211.02
215	→	215	→	215
220.97				
216.07	→	216.07	→	216.08
				216.09
217	→	217.01	→	217.03
				217.04
220.98	→	220	→	220.01
				220.02

CENSUS TRACT BOUNDARIES

APPENDIX B



2000 City of Amarillo  
Census Tracts



2010 City of Amarillo  
Census Tracts

**MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD AND FAMILY INCOME (2006-2010 ACS data) APPENDIX C**

Potter County CT in Amarillo		
Census Tract (CT)	Median Household Income	Median Family Income
101	\$31,219	\$62,663
102	\$82,188	\$98,259
103	\$21,518	\$21,354
104	\$58,900	\$78,173
106	\$21,103	\$19,667
107	\$49,000	\$48,309
110	\$25,441	\$30,089
115	\$38,218	\$55,758
116	\$33,604	\$38,190
117	\$32,500	\$52,569
118	\$49,805	\$55,665
119	\$32,279	\$48,333
120	\$16,580	\$17,750
122	\$25,070	\$27,043
126	\$31,397	\$31,346
128	\$25,867	\$27,254
130	\$19,740	\$28,068
132	\$49,643	\$68,068
133	\$78,340	\$91,250
134	\$66,799	\$72,446
139	\$33,684	\$42,917
141	\$42,258	\$44,318
144.01	\$53,000	\$54,469
145	\$30,250	\$33,936
147	\$30,620	\$33,462
148	\$22,996	\$23,889
149	\$35,813	\$40,566
150	\$25,515	\$30,735
151	\$51,746	\$52,243
152	\$36,706	\$43,155
153	\$22,180	\$21,061
154	\$23,765	\$26,563

Randall County CT in Amarillo		
Census Tract (CT)	Median Household Income	Median Family Income
201	\$59,081	\$72,917
202	\$40,250	\$59,375
203	\$39,279	\$47,250
204	\$63,186	\$81,250
205	\$34,375	\$45,250
206	\$60,118	\$62,236
208	\$47,832	\$50,948
209	\$46,188	\$55,500
210	\$52,406	\$57,118
211.01	\$56,208	\$66,950
211.02	\$21,399	\$26,083
212	\$53,038	\$63,194
213	\$49,900	\$69,141
215	\$75,144	\$78,182
216.02	\$54,360	\$82,727
216.03	\$67,594	\$70,764
216.04	\$78,066	\$111,228
216.05	\$80,000	\$84,762
216.06	\$80,962	\$84,816
216.08	\$84,559	\$95,753
216.09	\$110,053	\$135,515
220.01	\$82,969	\$86,429
220.02	\$56,250	\$60,609
<b>CITY OF AMARILLO</b>	<b>\$43,978</b>	<b>\$55,067</b>
<b>POTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>\$36,766</b>	<b>\$43,331</b>
<b>RANDALL COUNTY</b>	<b>\$56,041</b>	<b>\$70,592</b>
<b>AMARILLO MSA</b>	<b>\$46,384</b>	<b>\$57,317</b>

**Family Income (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

**APPENDIX D**

Census Tract	Total Families	Under \$10,000	\$10,000-14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000-\$74,999	\$75,000-\$99,999	\$100,000 or MORE
101	405	36	0	37	60	34	96	36	106
102	495	0	0	16	25	18	92	116	228
103	230	90	12	48	15	42	23	0	0
104	843	0	0	55	69	141	127	166	285
106	574	128	53	156	85	99	34	19	0
107	738	42	0	5	204	141	241	36	69
110	513	72	75	68	76	110	81	15	16
115	806	15	37	67	131	133	173	110	140
116	970	112	51	142	126	198	158	67	116
117	790	74	11	86	57	165	261	97	39
118	957	25	16	66	94	236	276	183	61
119	686	100	30	75	72	122	139	63	85
120	411	121	10	134	28	58	42	10	8
122	729	141	32	149	110	88	187	16	6
126	608	47	31	139	135	93	123	40	0
128	895	89	108	200	162	151	165	0	20
130	441	115	76	27	118	23	82	0	0
132	462	0	5	17	83	92	65	80	120
133	1,289	36	0	40	59	79	226	301	548
134	1,155	41	0	57	166	86	243	312	250
139	785	96	27	93	133	92	133	129	82
141	642	15	47	139	55	124	124	121	17
144.01	511	13	21	42	48	81	202	31	73
145	1,266	167	104	154	285	207	166	91	92
147	922	140	55	154	136	114	191	41	91
148	750	155	66	182	81	119	98	27	22
149	1,346	77	108	131	288	256	273	156	57
150	1,595	175	91	446	202	281	249	60	91
151	982	36	51	74	113	156	288	126	152
152	707	7	7	42	153	192	145	81	80
153	1,136	278	81	315	97	146	124	89	6
154	564	93	35	139	84	88	76	33	16
201	718	0	13	28	96	122	145	46	268
202	525	29	0	53	17	120	132	36	138
203	650	23	0	95	76	132	82	160	82
204	504	0	0	23	56	50	111	101	163
205	999	39	21	206	139	135	197	136	126
206	1,021	42	34	60	89	95	329	192	180
208	1,773	25	33	185	260	360	399	385	126
209	774	39	0	93	48	194	238	98	64
210	747	72	0	77	46	102	257	148	45
211.01	956	0	39	34	78	88	350	150	217
211.02	331	87	0	46	88	0	110	0	0
212	1,555	10	29	115	120	192	550	340	189
213	1,361	28	52	142	57	174	362	285	261
215	1,528	9	42	67	63	97	428	346	479
216.02	1,614	15	0	40	85	157	393	424	499
216.03	622	0	18	32	38	98	170	132	174
216.04	1,186	0	0	25	52	73	144	195	697
216.05	562	17	0	18	44	34	121	132	196
216.06	1,809	13	6	0	157	142	357	492	642
216.08	1,322	0	7	39	123	59	240	286	568
216.09	707	0	0	10	15	49	91	55	487
220.01	894	41	6	31	21	97	177	295	244
220.02	1,063	54	29	47	65	234	274	240	121
<b>City of Amarillo</b>	<b>46,368</b>	<b>2,975</b>	<b>1,543</b>	<b>4,864</b>	<b>5,078</b>	<b>6,534</b>	<b>10,109</b>	<b>6,850</b>	<b>8,415</b>
<b>Potter County</b>	<b>27,218</b>	<b>2,588</b>	<b>1,285</b>	<b>3,636</b>	<b>3,688</b>	<b>4,264</b>	<b>5,365</b>	<b>2,991</b>	<b>3,401</b>
<b>Randall County</b>	<b>31,501</b>	<b>864</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>1,967</b>	<b>2,599</b>	<b>3,799</b>	<b>7,069</b>	<b>6,340</b>	<b>8,129</b>
<b>Amarillo MSA</b>	<b>61,108</b>	<b>3,499</b>	<b>2,072</b>	<b>5,836</b>	<b>6,443</b>	<b>8,358</b>	<b>13,185</b>	<b>9,744</b>	<b>11,971</b>

**Household Income (2006-2010 ACS Data)**

**APPENDIX E**

Census Tract	Total Households	Under \$10,000	\$10,000-14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000-\$74,999	\$75,000-\$99,999	\$100,000 or MORE
101	755	112	31	88	191	55	109	56	113
102	718	0	35	81	39	56	102	152	253
103	550	169	52	127	29	66	85	22	0
104	1,388	37	50	175	113	204	194	210	405
106	740	137	120	156	111	135	51	30	0
107	1,044	64	19	61	211	177	339	96	77
110	726	106	138	110	99	153	81	15	24
115	1,653	162	173	163	242	270	276	191	176
116	1,640	147	180	212	324	364	204	82	127
117	1,712	184	114	311	292	310	297	117	87
118	1,531	92	33	138	167	343	476	211	71
119	1,214	218	37	214	156	208	220	70	91
120	757	165	144	251	63	74	42	10	8
122	1,084	244	77	219	167	114	232	25	6
126	940	114	64	198	151	130	215	59	9
128	1,447	150	161	374	238	229	266	9	20
130	633	134	154	66	165	32	74	0	8
132	736	25	14	54	110	166	123	98	143
133	1,819	77	20	91	84	267	330	346	604
134	1,643	130	0	141	165	108	404	414	281
139	1,024	89	77	183	173	144	126	150	82
141	818	15	96	155	65	188	151	131	17
144.01	726	52	14	63	94	103	269	50	81
145	1,854	257	170	404	342	292	197	100	92
147	1,976	281	173	398	278	262	343	98	143
148	983	215	97	230	112	166	114	27	22
149	1,657	68	144	226	370	301	301	167	80
150	2,135	249	86	715	352	313	260	90	100
151	1,315	53	86	109	138	224	368	184	153
152	1,025	38	110	98	201	218	187	81	92
153	1,743	402	180	421	200	255	190	89	6
154	862	165	137	170	104	123	102	40	21
201	935	18	13	112	131	144	203	46	241
202	957	32	39	180	128	207	151	65	155
203	1,050	41	69	196	117	249	136	160	82
204	812	18	25	39	105	88	232	101	204
205	1,667	163	179	315	179	231	253	174	176
206	1,294	83	41	124	138	136	386	163	223
208	2,355	78	92	302	402	372	548	422	139
209	1,071	7	28	218	58	277	283	136	64
210	1,020	130	0	116	63	150	328	188	45
211.01	1,971	44	168	202	173	231	682	227	244
211.02	1,239	120	271	373	193	60	222	0	0
212	2,109	111	190	155	179	332	562	354	226
213	2,286	67	121	316	311	329	546	302	294
215	1,808	9	45	114	109	149	475	378	529
216.02	2,606	159	41	214	219	570	415	451	537
216.03	801	12	18	71	60	123	191	152	174
216.04	2,020	42	33	179	216	332	183	307	728
216.05	890	42	0	45	99	99	132	243	230
216.06	2,056	32	20	31	139	155	481	513	685
216.08	1,840	77	54	67	139	221	263	349	670
216.09	948	7	6	24	92	63	152	64	539
220.01	1,036	26	20	59	52	90	223	309	257
220.02	1,645	108	89	114	124	283	417	324	186
<b>City of Amarillo</b>	<b>70,122</b>	<b>5,516</b>	<b>4,458</b>	<b>9,765</b>	<b>8,595</b>	<b>10,572</b>	<b>13,470</b>	<b>8,231</b>	<b>9,515</b>
<b>Potter County</b>	<b>41,422</b>	<b>4,395</b>	<b>3,079</b>	<b>6,674</b>	<b>5,757</b>	<b>6,445</b>	<b>7,316</b>	<b>3,776</b>	<b>3,980</b>
<b>Randall County</b>	<b>46,018</b>	<b>2,486</b>	<b>2,503</b>	<b>4,531</b>	<b>4,698</b>	<b>6,194</b>	<b>9,409</b>	<b>7,233</b>	<b>8,964</b>
<b>Amarillo MSA</b>	<b>90,561</b>	<b>7,042</b>	<b>5,741</b>	<b>11,607</b>	<b>10,687</b>	<b>13,029</b>	<b>17,546</b>	<b>11,464</b>	<b>13,445</b>

**COMPARISON OF LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES FROM 2000 TO 2010--APPENDIX F**

DEFINITIONS: Low and Moderate Income is 80% or less of the median family income for the Amarillo MSA. Low Income is 50% or less of the median family income for the Amarillo MSA.

<b>AMARILLO MSA MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME</b>	<b>2000</b> <b>\$43,514</b>	<b>2010</b> <b>\$57,317</b>
<b>LOW AND MODERATE FAMILY INCOME</b>	<b>\$34,811</b>	<b>\$45,854</b>
<b>LOW FAMILY INCOME</b>	<b>\$21,757</b>	<b>\$28,659</b>

Census Tract	Families		Low & Moderate Income Families		Percentage of Low & Moderate Income Families		Low Income Families		Percentage of Low Income Families	
	2000	2010	2000	2010	2000	2010	2000	2010	2000	2010
101	508	405	168	167	33%	41%	116	73	23%	18%
102	600	495	101	59	17%	12%	40	16	7%	3%
103	303	230	194	207	64%	90%	169	150	56%	65%
104	969	843	235	265	24%	31%	166	55	17%	7%
106	470	574	345	521	73%	91%	257	337	55%	59%
107	847	738	414	392	49%	53%	261	47	31%	6%
110	523	513	295	401	56%	78%	173	215	33%	42%
111	551	---	342	---	62%	---	232	---	42%	---
115	1,076	806	415	383	39%	48%	220	119	20%	15%
116	1,119	970	522	629	47%	65%	331	305	30%	31%
117	853	790	435	393	51%	50%	282	171	33%	22%
118	970	957	352	437	36%	46%	172	107	18%	11%
119	827	686	522	399	63%	58%	326	205	39%	30%
120	348	411	268	351	77%	85%	210	265	60%	64%
122	902	729	632	520	70%	71%	440	322	49%	44%
126	662	608	388	445	59%	73%	300	217	45%	36%
128	1,124	895	821	710	73%	79%	618	397	55%	44%
130	394	441	299	359	76%	81%	233	218	59%	49%
132	445	462	117	197	26%	43%	62	22	14%	5%
133	902	1,289	104	214	12%	17%	60	76	7%	6%
134	0	1,155	0	350	0%	30%	0	98	0%	8%
139	845	785	454	441	54%	56%	348	216	41%	28%
141	609	642	328	380	54%	59%	219	201	36%	31%
144*	530	---	207	---	39%	---	111	---	21%	---
144.01*	---	726	---	205	---	28%	---	76	---	10%
145	1,448	1,854	796	917	55%	49%	522	425	36%	23%
146*	92	---	75	---	82%	---	59	---	64%	---
147	1,101	922	663	599	60%	65%	459	349	42%	38%
148	553	750	385	603	70%	80%	300	403	54%	53%
149	1,428	1,346	750	860	53%	64%	532	316	37%	23%
150	1,528	1,595	932	1,195	61%	75%	632	712	41%	45%
151	625	1,315	187	430	29%	33%	108	161	17%	12%
152	780	1,025	335	401	43%	39%	185	56	24%	5%
153	1,204	1,743	814	917	68%	53%	582	674	48%	39%
154*	---	862	---	439	---	51%	---	267	---	31%
201	654	935	137	418	21%	45%	43	143	7%	15%
202	636	957	230	586	36%	61%	111	251	17%	26%
203	546	1,050	217	672	40%	64%	147	306	27%	29%
204	582	812	124	275	21%	34%	73	82	13%	10%
205	1,014	1,667	556	1,067	55%	64%	370	657	36%	39%
206	1,099	1,294	323	522	29%	40%	157	248	14%	19%
208	1,579	2,355	582	1,246	37%	53%	309	472	20%	20%
209	759	1,071	339	588	45%	55%	197	253	26%	24%
210	702	1,020	141	459	20%	45%	38	246	5%	24%
211*	1,628	---	561	---	34%	---	321	---	20%	---
211.01*	---	1,971	---	818	---	42%	---	414	---	21%
211.02*	---	1,239	---	1,017	---	82%	---	764	---	62%
212	1,540	2,109	401	967	26%	46%	188	456	12%	22%
213	1,565	2,286	397	1,144	25%	50%	301	504	19%	22%
215	1,479	1,808	191	426	13%	24%	82	168	6%	9%
216.02	1,596	2,606	325	1,203	20%	46%	178	414	11%	16%
216.03	696	801	94	284	14%	35%	43	101	6%	13%
216.04	1,386	2,020	170	802	12%	40%	111	254	8%	13%
216.05	496	890	122	285	25%	32%	92	87	19%	10%
216.06	1,687	2,056	152	377	9%	18%	87	83	5%	4%
216.07	713	---	141	---	20%	---	99	---	14%	---
216.08	---	1,840	---	558	---	30%	---	198	---	11%
216.09	---	948	---	192	---	20%	---	37	---	4%
220	465	---	129	---	28%	---	73	---	16%	---
220.01	---	1,036	---	247	---	24%	---	105	---	10%
220.02	---	1,645	---	718	---	44%	---	311	---	19%
<b>City of Amarillo</b>	<b>45,968</b>	<b>46,368</b>	<b>18,228</b>	<b>20,994</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>11,745</b>	<b>9,382</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>20%</b>

\*See Appendix A  
 --- Census tract did not exist during specified decade

**PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE**

**APPENDIX G**

<b>Race</b>	<b>City of Amarillo</b>	<b>Amarillo MSA</b>	<b>Potter County</b>	<b>Randall County</b>
White	10,200	15,414	8,180	6,821
Black or African American	4,889	4,986	4,596	390
American Indian, Eskimo, or Aleut	297	359	270	89
Asian or Pacific Islander	1,055	1,281	866	410
Other	4,569	5,223	3,853	1,357
<b>Total</b>	<b>21,010</b>	<b>27,263</b>	<b>17,765</b>	<b>9,067</b>
Hispanic or Latino Origin*	14,451	15,591	12,692	2,765

\*Hispanic or Latino Origin is considered an ethnic characteristic rather than a race. Therefore, the figures are not included in the total calculation.

**PERCENTAGE OF AGE GROUP BELOW POVERTY LEVEL**

**APPENDIX H**

<b>Age</b>	<b>City of Amarillo</b>	<b>Amarillo MSA</b>	<b>Potter County</b>	<b>Randall County</b>
<b>Under 18 years</b>	24.9%	22.5%	33.1%	11.4%
<b>18-64 years</b>	14.7%	14.4%	19.8%	9.5%
<b>65 years and over</b>	8.8%	8.4%	12.4%	5.2%

**VEHICLES AVAILABLE BY CENSUS TRACT**

**APPENDIX I**

Census Tract	Workers 16 years and over in households	None	One	Two	Three or more
101	640	4.1%	35.3%	40.2%	20.5%
102	925	2.9%	11.4%	43.9%	41.8%
103	580	8.4%	51.9%	37.8%	1.9%
104	1,783	2.2%	21.6%	43.7%	32.4%
106	837	0.0%	39.7%	26.4%	33.9%
107	1,623	1.2%	20.3%	43.0%	35.5%
110	825	5.5%	19.6%	37.6%	37.3%
115	2,198	4.2%	28.8%	42.8%	24.2%
116	1,789	3.3%	32.5%	43.0%	21.2%
117	2,152	0.3%	39.5%	44.8%	15.4%
118	2,174	1.1%	19.2%	55.1%	24.6%
119	1,558	3.6%	27.5%	44.2%	24.7%
120	681	16.7%	37.7%	22.2%	23.3%
122	1,694	4.9%	28.0%	20.4%	46.6%
126	1,447	2.0%	27.1%	32.1%	38.8%
128	1,842	4.9%	29.2%	54.9%	11.0%
130	570	6.8%	60.7%	28.4%	4.0%
132	734	6.5%	35.4%	38.6%	19.5%
133	2,445	0.7%	13.6%	56.7%	29.0%
134	2,731	0.0%	8.8%	35.7%	55.5%
139	1,343	0.2%	22.7%	37.2%	39.8%
141	1,106	1.9%	23.0%	29.7%	45.5%
144.01	1,065	0.4%	12.8%	53.1%	33.8%
145	2,472	5.7%	35.9%	28.5%	29.9%
147	1,963	2.6%	38.4%	43.2%	15.8%
148	1,221	10.9%	30.1%	30.1%	28.8%
149	2,755	4.4%	20.8%	40.3%	34.4%
150	2,991	9.7%	25.1%	43.0%	22.2%
151	1,752	1.5%	18.4%	46.3%	33.8%
152	1,369	0.7%	20.2%	40.1%	39.1%
153	1,865	6.5%	36.1%	41.3%	16.1%
154	1,087	5.8%	22.7%	40.5%	31.0%
201	1,031	0.7%	13.1%	44.5%	41.7%
202	1,034	0.9%	25.4%	45.4%	28.3%
203	1,322	1.2%	36.2%	38.2%	24.4%
204	911	0.0%	20.6%	43.0%	36.3%
205	2,033	6.0%	34.8%	42.9%	16.2%
206	2,068	0.6%	13.1%	47.3%	39.0%
208	3,127	0.8%	26.3%	55.9%	17.0%
209	1,443	0.0%	30.4%	47.6%	22.0%
210	1,250	7.4%	15.6%	45.9%	31.1%
211.01	2,226	0.9%	27.4%	52.8%	18.9%
211.02	1,129	4.3%	42.8%	48.9%	4.0%
212	2,589	7.4%	13.5%	50.3%	28.7%
213	2,862	3.0%	22.7%	47.2%	27.0%
215	2,628	0.0%	7.5%	48.6%	43.9%
216.02	3,305	1.1%	17.9%	51.9%	29.2%
216.03	1,064	4.2%	18.6%	48.8%	28.4%
216.04	2,557	2.0%	13.6%	48.3%	36.1%
216.05	1,056	1.5%	22.3%	39.3%	36.8%
216.06	2,897	0.0%	7.0%	56.2%	36.8%
216.08	2,398	2.7%	15.6%	47.1%	34.6%
216.09	1,268	0.0%	16.6%	49.8%	33.6%
220.01	1,805	1.6%	9.1%	55.2%	34.1%
220.02	2,283	1.9%	25.0%	36.2%	37.0%
<b>CITY OF AMARILLO</b>	<b>90,038</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>28.5%</b>
<b>POTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>53,720</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>41.2%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>
<b>RANDALL COUNTY</b>	<b>59,442</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
<b>AMARILLO MSA</b>	<b>116,858</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>32.2%</b>

**YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY CENSUS TRACT**

**APPENDIX J**

Census Tract	Population 25 years and older	Less than 9 <sup>th</sup> Grade	9 <sup>th</sup> to 12 <sup>th</sup> Grade, no diploma	High school graduate (includes equivalency)	Some college, no degree	Associate's degree	Bachelor's degree	Graduate or professional degree
101	1,090	35	38	189	395	73	230	130
102	1,328	34	67	257	231	187	356	196
103	587	29	63	143	214	57	81	0
104	2,276	25	47	303	662	141	763	335
106	1,007	258	350	215	135	39	10	0
107	1,989	289	326	616	577	77	76	28
110	1,334	347	267	376	158	70	108	8
115	2,747	119	459	743	624	129	450	223
116	2,528	282	269	573	793	221	303	87
117	2,515	256	67	576	954	185	278	199
118	2,954	199	256	674	1,002	167	497	159
119	1,998	81	282	651	538	122	174	150
120	965	129	136	438	216	0	7	39
122	2,239	691	416	570	379	121	37	24
126	1,817	421	401	539	322	40	81	13
128	2,584	634	496	782	411	132	112	17
130	862	140	63	269	288	16	85	7
132	1,436	69	97	413	239	76	380	162
133	3,209	12	74	516	940	230	881	556
134	3,023	36	271	912	1,064	353	265	122
139	1,966	79	300	721	662	80	105	19
141	1,606	154	333	370	561	84	86	18
144.01	2,653	60	380	1,023	786	219	126	59
145	3,222	477	759	839	896	99	109	43
147	3,015	454	196	841	825	241	239	219
148	2,098	414	184	590	625	112	115	58
149	3,823	934	731	1,172	738	101	115	32
150	3,955	513	909	1,382	615	203	333	0
151	2,338	74	246	883	795	150	153	37
152	2,017	102	259	771	524	133	170	58
153	2,964	573	612	852	691	37	157	42
154	1,503	341	313	423	246	79	90	11
201	1,457	23	42	258	393	159	332	250
202	1,476	11	122	408	459	97	285	94
203	1,448	0	118	288	539	240	191	72
204	1,333	23	61	211	372	72	401	193
205	2,411	97	247	739	889	113	255	71
206	2,554	117	267	1,020	571	147	340	92
208	3,993	241	408	1,045	1,209	284	616	190
209	1,753	107	207	520	364	290	233	32
210	1,550	62	100	486	523	149	176	54
211.01	2,839	29	108	805	772	255	554	316
211.02	1,130	62	126	367	315	111	126	23
212	3,438	77	202	901	1,003	444	599	212
213	3,864	35	178	857	1,341	294	743	416
215	3,260	35	159	893	1,003	299	600	271
216.02	3,639	36	199	624	1,018	282	963	517
216.03	1,513	8	26	370	420	125	458	106
216.04	3,041	0	90	610	626	125	804	786
216.05	1,347	11	0	242	434	185	303	172
216.06	3,606	12	112	663	1,284	292	807	436
216.08	2,998	35	153	486	607	253	921	543
216.09	1,623	10	24	220	419	124	485	341
220.01	1,898	41	91	363	703	191	305	204
220.02	2,748	152	255	767	865	261	324	123
<b>City of Amarillo</b>	<b>117,791</b>	<b>9,390</b>	<b>12,135</b>	<b>30,481</b>	<b>31,967</b>	<b>8,162</b>	<b>17,377</b>	<b>8,279</b>
<b>Potter County</b>	<b>74,629</b>	<b>8,324</b>	<b>10,032</b>	<b>20,984</b>	<b>19,690</b>	<b>4,387</b>	<b>7,771</b>	<b>3,441</b>
<b>Randall County</b>	<b>74,274</b>	<b>1,918</b>	<b>4,558</b>	<b>18,199</b>	<b>21,003</b>	<b>6,269</b>	<b>15,058</b>	<b>7,269</b>
<b>Amarillo MSA</b>	<b>154,543</b>	<b>10,390</b>	<b>15,075</b>	<b>40,952</b>	<b>42,122</b>	<b>11,104</b>	<b>23,789</b>	<b>11,111</b>

**SCHOOL ENROLLMENT BY CENSUS TRACT**

**APPENDIX K**

Census Tract	Nursery School, Preschool	Kindergarten	Elementary School (grades 1-8)	High School (grades 9-12)	College or Graduate School
101	32	19	73	10	113
102	47	34	260	154	100
103	27	27	109	11	104
104	44	19	363	145	269
106	21	69	309	291	113
107	37	49	424	298	173
110	75	63	326	172	118
115	55	90	425	159	189
116	91	104	494	133	185
117	91	52	339	110	424
118	94	80	288	124	203
119	101	40	373	144	214
120	66	42	147	99	75
122	47	46	393	261	259
126	36	95	436	254	170
128	23	138	827	271	129
130	0	14	277	182	21
132	14	31	179	63	136
133	80	76	594	217	244
134	43	57	510	296	336
139	132	5	433	253	178
141	39	53	381	193	209
144.01	52	41	304	164	194
145	64	158	596	566	206
147	13	73	574	327	368
148	148	99	407	148	153
149	129	94	842	235	274
150	287	186	896	293	285
151	86	21	523	141	118
152	50	49	187	169	170
153	128	85	788	330	272
154	32	77	539	276	141
201	18	30	167	121	215
202	39	11	160	116	86
203	71	0	272	90	195
204	65	23	190	138	141
205	70	66	557	275	303
206	38	58	487	225	144
208	139	124	543	378	323
209	119	65	474	117	346
210	54	36	239	78	196
211.01	75	44	347	86	275
211.02	30	0	28	58	264
212	49	60	501	409	412
213	188	0	621	244	519
215	103	35	382	385	278
216.02	60	29	502	502	509
216.03	22	49	101	156	77
216.04	35	52	292	306	438
216.05	11	51	254	122	150
216.06	120	71	817	339	390
216.08	134	64	602	193	254
216.09	32	9	162	199	137
220.01	62	77	395	174	155
220.02	43	62	880	332	237
<b>CITY OF AMARILLO</b>	<b>3,668</b>	<b>3,015</b>	<b>21,289</b>	<b>10,955</b>	<b>11,639</b>
<b>POTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>2,345</b>	<b>2,162</b>	<b>14,280</b>	<b>7,041</b>	<b>6,400</b>
<b>RANDALL COUNTY</b>	<b>2,062</b>	<b>1,569</b>	<b>12,703</b>	<b>6,756</b>	<b>10,507</b>
<b>AMARILLO MSA</b>	<b>4,490</b>	<b>3,848</b>	<b>28,016</b>	<b>14,303</b>	<b>17,230</b>

**NUMBER OF PERSONS 16 YEARS AND OLDER UNEMPLOYED**

**APPENDIX L**

<b>Census Tract</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
101	69	15	54
102	32	32	0
103	39	5	34
104	69	38	31
106	36	29	7
107	209	94	115
110	67	67	0
115	132	107	25
116	134	53	81
117	94	39	55
118	35	16	19
119	140	74	66
120	59	34	25
122	134	51	83
126	80	31	49
128	232	175	57
130	65	43	22
132	20	0	20
133	81	32	49
134	47	25	22
139	149	55	94
141	170	59	111
144.01	70	33	37
145	198	85	113
147	136	125	11
148	222	209	13
149	100	51	49
150	304	179	125
151	83	31	52
152	198	117	81
153	95	49	46
154	124	36	88
201	52	16	36
202	35	13	22
203	109	11	98
204	0	0	0
205	131	67	64
206	9	9	0
208	208	64	144
209	108	83	25
210	51	40	11
211.01	89	47	42
211.02	97	76	21
212	169	31	138
213	137	106	31
215	117	69	48
216.02	68	24	44
216.03	56	16	40
216.04	80	24	56
216.05	116	39	77
216.06	102	70	32
216.08	23	17	6
216.09	29	13	16
220.01	65	34	31
220.02	66	48	18
<b>CITY OF AMARILLO</b>	<b>5,401</b>	<b>2,802</b>	<b>2,599</b>
<b>POTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>3,781</b>	<b>2,036</b>	<b>1,745</b>
<b>RANDALL COUNTY</b>	<b>2,634</b>	<b>1,238</b>	<b>1,396</b>
<b>AMARILLO MSA</b>	<b>6,524</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>3,206</b>

**EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

**APPENDIX M**

<b>Geography</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
<b>City of Amarillo</b>			
Persons over 16 yrs	142,081	70,166	71,915
Employed	92,114	50,583	41,531
Unemployed	5,401	2,802	2,599
Not in labor force	44,408	16,781	27,785
<b>Potter County</b>			
Persons over 16 yrs	90,117	46,095	44,022
Employed	55,050	31,453	23,597
Unemployed	3,781	2,036	1,745
Not in labor force	31,227	12,606	18,600
<b>Randall County</b>			
Persons over 16 yrs	91,000	43,501	47,499
Employed	61,329	32,095	29,234
Unemployed	2,634	1,238	1,396
Not in labor force	26,900	10,168	16,869
<b>Amarillo MSA</b>			
Persons over 16 yrs	187,546	92,778	94,768
Employed	120,151	65,702	54,449
Unemployed	6,524	3,318	3,206
Not in labor force	60,675	23,758	37,113

## NUMBER OF WORKERS IN FAMILY

## APPENDIX N

Census Tract	Families	No workers	One worker	Two or more workers
101	405	15.8%	50.1%	34.1%
102	495	14.7%	35.2%	50.1%
103	230	36.1%	34.8%	29.1%
104	843	7.4%	32.0%	60.6%
106	574	13.4%	62.9%	23.7%
107	738	10.3%	35.2%	54.5%
110	513	13.3%	46.8%	40.0%
115	806	10.0%	23.6%	66.4%
116	970	17.5%	40.9%	41.5%
117	790	3.2%	40.4%	56.5%
118	957	12.1%	26.9%	61.0%
119	686	11.1%	26.5%	62.4%
120	411	22.1%	34.1%	43.8%
122	729	11.7%	32.6%	55.7%
126	608	8.7%	50.7%	40.6%
128	895	5.8%	47.3%	46.9%
130	441	26.1%	39.5%	34.5%
132	462	18.6%	35.9%	45.5%
133	1,289	9.2%	34.4%	56.3%
134	1,155	3.9%	27.1%	69.0%
139	785	13.9%	32.5%	53.6%
141	642	11.2%	33.8%	55.0%
144.01	511	4.1%	37.0%	58.9%
145	1,266	12.1%	38.4%	49.5%
147	922	8.9%	43.5%	47.6%
148	750	9.7%	50.1%	40.1%
149	1,346	9.2%	26.3%	64.5%
150	1,595	12.9%	42.6%	44.5%
151	982	14.8%	32.6%	52.6%
152	707	9.3%	37.6%	53.0%
153	1,136	18.2%	48.0%	33.8%
154	564	19.0%	38.7%	42.4%
201	718	18.9%	25.6%	55.4%
202	525	9.1%	37.9%	53.0%
203	650	4.0%	49.5%	46.5%
204	504	13.5%	32.5%	54.0%
205	999	4.6%	45.4%	49.9%
206	1,021	9.7%	18.9%	71.4%
208	1,773	2.3%	37.7%	60.0%
209	774	14.0%	25.3%	60.7%
210	747	14.1%	36.9%	49.0%
211.01	956	9.5%	32.2%	58.3%
211.02	331	4.2%	44.4%	51.4%
212	1,555	15.1%	24.1%	60.8%
213	1,361	10.7%	34.0%	55.3%
215	1,528	10.6%	26.4%	63.0%
216.02	1,614	17.1%	26.3%	56.6%
216.03	662	17.8%	23.6%	58.6%
216.04	1,186	7.7%	29.8%	62.6%
216.05	562	9.8%	31.1%	59.1%
216.06	1,809	12.0%	28.1%	59.9%
216.08	1,322	11.3%	25.7%	62.9%
216.09	707	11.3%	24.9%	63.8%
220.01	894	2.9%	22.3%	74.8%
220.02	1,063	4.5%	29.3%	66.2%
<b>CITY OF AMARILLO</b>	<b>46,368</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>34.1%</b>	<b>54.7%</b>
<b>POTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>27,218</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>51.1%</b>
<b>RANDALL COUNTY</b>	<b>31,501</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>59.7%</b>
<b>AMARILLO MSA</b>	<b>61,108</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>33.1%</b>	<b>55.7%</b>

**LABOR FORCE 16 YEARS AND OVER BY GENDER**

**APPENDIX O**

Census Tract	Total	Male	Percentage	Female	Percentage
101	709	310	44%	399	56%
102	965	610	63%	355	37%
103	627	322	51%	305	49%
104	1,875	1,022	55%	853	45%
106	923	559	61%	364	39%
107	1,846	1,078	58%	768	42%
110	950	540	57%	410	43%
115	2,330	1,472	63%	858	37%
116	1,963	1,057	54%	906	46%
117	2,319	1,188	51%	1,131	49%
118	2,274	1,339	59%	935	41%
119	1,722	992	58%	730	42%
120	740	429	58%	311	42%
122	1,839	1,065	58%	774	42%
126	1,537	980	64%	557	36%
128	2,101	1,175	56%	926	44%
130	640	309	48%	331	52%
132	789	499	63%	290	37%
133	2,565	1,463	57%	1,102	43%
134	2,867	1,540	54%	1,327	46%
139	1,544	758	49%	786	51%
141	1,342	588	44%	754	56%
144.01	1,154	696	60%	458	40%
145	2,752	1,744	63%	1,008	37%
147	2,223	1,215	55%	1,008	45%
148	1,487	1,031	69%	456	31%
149	2,881	1,739	60%	1,142	40%
150	3,313	1,752	53%	1,561	47%
151	1,851	1,061	57%	790	43%
152	1,573	923	59%	650	41%
153	2,079	1,223	59%	856	41%
154	1,301	717	55%	584	45%
201	1,112	528	47%	584	53%
202	1,093	538	49%	555	51%
203	1,437	501	35%	936	65%
204	920	457	50%	463	50%
205	2,174	1,244	57%	930	43%
206	2,106	1,000	47%	1,106	53%
208	3,433	1,831	53%	1,602	47%
209	1,617	826	51%	791	49%
210	1,393	706	51%	687	49%
211.01	2,366	1,274	54%	1,092	46%
211.02	1,252	657	52%	595	48%
212	2,787	1,375	49%	1,412	51%
213	3,150	1,700	54%	1,450	46%
215	2,851	1,470	52%	1,381	48%
216.02	3,386	1,791	53%	1,595	47%
216.03	1,130	601	53%	529	47%
216.04	2,695	1,362	51%	1,333	49%
216.05	1,255	570	45%	685	55%
216.06	3,039	1,742	57%	1,297	43%
216.08	2,471	1,323	54%	1,148	46%
216.09	1,374	835	61%	539	39%
220.01	1,870	1,002	54%	868	46%
220.02	2,393	1,427	60%	966	40%
<b>CITY OF AMARILLO</b>	<b>97,673</b>	<b>53,531</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>44,142</b>	<b>45%</b>
<b>POTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>58,890</b>	<b>33,548</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>25,342</b>	<b>43%</b>
<b>RANDALL COUNTY</b>	<b>64,100</b>	<b>33,458</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>30,642</b>	<b>48%</b>
<b>AMARILLO MSA</b>	<b>126,871</b>	<b>69,204</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>57,667</b>	<b>45%</b>

**FEMALES AGES 16 YEARS AND OVER IN LABOR FORCE WITH OWN CHILDREN  
APPENDIX P**

Census Tract	Total	Females with children under 6 years of age only	Females with children under 6 years of age and ages 6 to 17 years of age	Females with children between ages 6 and 17 years of age only
101	141	79	0	62
102	238	52	0	186
103	132	42	19	71
104	350	74	60	216
106	313	86	79	148
107	499	146	48	305
110	350	87	81	182
115	382	79	43	260
116	350	96	138	116
117	393	180	120	93
118	405	154	27	224
119	340	116	50	174
120	215	88	11	116
122	547	193	77	277
126	302	74	162	66
128	432	66	116	250
130	162	53	29	80
132	111	24	17	70
133	503	109	114	280
134	583	159	79	345
139	432	126	136	170
141	306	48	110	148
144.01	269	88	24	156
145	596	185	127	284
147	440	72	55	313
148	345	66	124	155
149	728	146	231	351
150	943	283	245	415
151	428	175	51	202
152	248	63	34	151
153	491	93	160	238
154	377	86	74	217
201	274	119	28	127
202	226	58	20	148
203	375	184	51	140
204	218	29	38	151
205	462	110	34	318
206	516	117	144	255
208	890	367	173	350
209	439	145	132	162
210	303	144	43	116
211.01	367	109	130	128
211.02	163	93	12	58
212	731	250	141	340
213	574	180	93	301
215	513	57	128	328
216.02	573	79	15	479
216.03	241	87	33	121
216.04	383	33	49	301
216.05	241	60	0	181
216.06	723	152	89	482
216.08	632	188	120	326
216.09	294	69	61	164
220.01	591	139	128	324
220.02	604	109	181	314
<b>CITY OF AMARILLO</b>	<b>21,725</b>	<b>6,047</b>	<b>4,337</b>	<b>11,341</b>
<b>POTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>13,068</b>	<b>3,502</b>	<b>2,788</b>	<b>6,778</b>
<b>RANDALL COUNTY</b>	<b>14,079</b>	<b>3,725</b>	<b>2,616</b>	<b>7,738</b>
<b>AMARILLO MSA</b>	<b>27,997</b>	<b>7,383</b>	<b>5,566</b>	<b>15,048</b>

**AMARILLO EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR\* 1990-2010**

**APPENDIX Q**

Economic Sector	1990		2000		2010	
	Number	Percentage of Total	Number	Percentage of Total	Number	Percentage of Total
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	1,820	2%	788	1%	1,228	1%
Construction	4,626	6%	5,956	7%	6,681	7%
Manufacturing	8,826	12%	8,333	10%	9,295	10%
Wholesale trade	4,802	7%	3,594	4%	3,348	4%
Retail trade	14,480	20%	11,678	14%	12,686	14%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	6,041	8%	4,501	6%	5,727	6%
Information	N/A	N/A	2,239	3%	1,822	2%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	4,634	6%	5,445	7%	6,931	8%
Professional, scientific management, and administrative and waste management	N/A	N/A	5,289	7%	5,963	7%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	12,506	17%	17,132	21%	19,672	21%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodations, and food services	3,790	5%	6,688	8%	8,730	10%
Other services, except public administration	8,393	12%	5,306	7%	5,824	6%
Public administration	3,188	4%	4,131	5%	4,207	5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>73,106</b>		<b>81,080</b>		<b>92,114</b>	

*\*Employed individuals 16 years of age and over*

**YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION OF AMARILLO HOUSING UNITS**

**APPENDIX R**

Year	Housing Units	Vacant	Owner Occupied	Renter Occupied
2005 or later	2,942	125	1,831	986
2000 to 2004	4,920	513	2,925	1,482
1990 to 1999	6,758	281	4,290	2,187
1980 to 1989	9,326	756	5,034	3,536
1970 to 1979	13,141	1,593	7,094	4,454
1960 to 1969	12,126	870	7,160	4,096
1950 to 1959	15,869	1,609	9,499	4,761
1940 to 1949	6,591	980	3,370	2,241
1930 or earlier	6,212	1,036	2,896	2,280
<b>Total</b>	<b>77,885</b>	<b>7,763</b>	<b>44,099</b>	<b>26,023</b>

**YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION BY CENSUS TRACT**

**APPENDIX S**

Census Tract	2005 or later	2000 to 2004	1990 to 1999	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1960 to 1969	1950 to 1959	1940 to 1949	1930 or earlier
101	17	15	70	26	615	236	96	9	19
102	11	7	16	66	70	195	348	27	0
103	0	15	0	140	342	160	50	0	24
104	0	0	30	32	126	138	636	212	373
106	8	62	130	175	70	99	67	67	62
107	47	7	1	36	114	206	512	192	15
110	46	20	33	69	67	61	257	185	81
115	89	52	59	46	169	50	509	549	428
116	10	91	80	92	385	282	532	209	127
117	121	238	290	399	521	234	233	36	0
118	27	109	83	36	156	215	444	249	324
119	18	54	105	53	45	146	226	228	557
120	24	5	23	118	18	110	115	120	348
122	0	56	101	94	110	70	249	267	338
126	9	94	52	67	38	143	409	225	29
128	0	98	69	286	290	194	479	234	94
130	0	24	83	133	16	52	182	205	92
132	53	139	69	27	40	55	392	29	26
133	135	463	525	485	211	47	37	28	34
134	14	306	330	289	269	319	89	66	74
139	0	26	28	58	179	382	343	54	67
141	0	17	85	139	159	125	259	17	21
144.01	30	23	76	73	58	141	282	75	72
145	138	77	141	118	136	604	503	204	244
147	55	104	95	226	168	143	421	388	808
148	0	79	258	154	134	169	134	101	114
149	5	43	95	357	266	449	323	64	177
150	34	226	129	110	122	261	999	217	236
151	49	155	143	323	257	201	148	63	141
152	10	23	51	126	141	112	292	156	177
153	26	112	28	157	287	248	415	323	337
154	87	29	20	116	113	89	266	80	270
201	9	14	38	55	115	620	83	17	0
202	4	31	13	26	141	435	382	59	20
203	14	0	53	50	191	154	421	180	9
204	11	0	45	26	84	171	451	53	23
205	16	0	82	76	77	121	609	638	185
206	15	63	52	184	413	461	170	38	9
208	26	23	93	265	358	418	883	177	0
209	5	17	41	23	61	175	598	231	27
210	7	76	47	70	435	272	41	65	7
211.01	9	0	11	186	319	600	820	64	51
211.02	0	23	133	263	566	182	195	102	15
212	0	0	62	114	693	1,109	339	0	30
213	25	61	71	288	927	768	267	18	20
215	28	175	343	463	655	179	68	27	19
216.02	0	14	227	372	1,400	460	128	38	125
216.03	40	0	65	296	264	9	110	0	17
216.04	10	88	609	936	233	289	11	47	32
216.05	23	208	254	364	57	41	0	0	7
216.06	46	166	651	748	420	15	11	22	6
216.08	493	426	637	191	55	33	18	19	36
216.09	327	298	226	15	54	24	9	44	0
220.01	299	463	153	73	65	35	41	20	7
220.02	399	325	216	375	218	80	187	0	34
<b>City of Amarillo</b>	<b>2,942</b>	<b>4,920</b>	<b>6,758</b>	<b>9,326</b>	<b>13,141</b>	<b>12,126</b>	<b>15,869</b>	<b>6,591</b>	<b>6,212</b>
<b>Potter County</b>	<b>1,213</b>	<b>3,375</b>	<b>3,788</b>	<b>5,020</b>	<b>6,179</b>	<b>6,300</b>	<b>10,410</b>	<b>4,928</b>	<b>5,753</b>
<b>Randall County</b>	<b>2,755</b>	<b>3,899</b>	<b>6,138</b>	<b>7,646</b>	<b>10,353</b>	<b>8,382</b>	<b>7,194</b>	<b>2,587</b>	<b>1,189</b>
<b>Amarillo MSA</b>	<b>4,004</b>	<b>7,388</b>	<b>10,257</b>	<b>13,097</b>	<b>17,080</b>	<b>15,320</b>	<b>18,067</b>	<b>7,877</b>	<b>7,744</b>

**UNITS IN STRUCTURE BY CENSUS TRACT**

**APPENDIX T**

Census Tract	Total Units	1 Unit, Detached	1 Unit, Attached	2 Units, Duplex	3 or 4 Units	5 to 9 Units	10 to 19 Units	20 or more Units	Mobile Home	Other
101	1,103	431	63	28	29	65	136	337	7	7
102	740	706	15	0	0	0	0	11	8	0
103	731	53	26	12	66	266	93	207	8	0
104	1,547	1,298	15	65	14	0	45	78	32	0
106	740	297	11	11	34	83	0	8	296	0
107	1,130	1,092	0	12	0	0	0	19	7	0
110	819	624	0	15	28	33	22	61	36	0
115	1,951	1,467	43	139	152	38	13	65	34	0
116	1,808	1,002	62	147	104	91	139	222	41	0
117	2,072	493	36	176	175	317	394	481	0	0
118	1,643	1,363	0	0	43	51	97	29	60	0
119	1,432	1,064	63	106	25	0	32	38	104	0
120	881	453	22	85	26	48	22	152	73	0
122	1,285	1,005	59	73	83	18	0	22	25	0
126	1,066	836	13	46	137	11	0	0	23	0
128	174	1,019	48	85	95	110	53	51	268	15
130	757	508	6	70	78	112	0	0	13	0
132	830	547	25	67	0	20	11	160	0	0
133	1,965	1,650	0	28	25	16	160	69	16	0
134	1,729	1,032	0	0	0	0	0	0	697	0
139	1,137	1,025	9	0	34	0	0	0	69	0
141	822	486	4	0	11	10	0	0	311	0
144.01	830	387	234	57	17	0	14	16	105	0
145	2,163	1,459	137	205	0	24	83	23	193	41
147	2,407	1,389	11	102	109	169	168	432	13	14
148	1,143	798	89	13	26	0	0	54	163	0
149	1,779	1,370	25	39	126	8	41	15	147	8
150	2,334	1,808	42	55	86	73	129	93	48	0
151	1,480	940	0	13	0	0	9	24	483	11
152	1,088	843	9	0	36	84	0	9	107	0
153	1,933	1,415	67	210	42	57	50	24	68	0
154	1,070	699	10	36	46	65	127	65	22	0
201	951	780	0	26	20	7	49	49	20	0
202	1,111	738	6	0	8	21	123	184	31	0
203	1,072	328	22	124	49	92	86	147	24	0
204	864	796	34	23	0	0	0	11	0	0
205	1,804	1,588	46	57	30	0	24	22	37	0
206	1,405	1,345	0	0	11	0	24	0	25	0
208	2,603	2,490	85	0	0	0	0	0	28	0
209	1,178	1,083	17	0	0	0	50	14	14	0
210	1,020	789	43	53	0	0	65	14	56	0
211.01	2,060	1,534	51	84	106	113	20	152	0	0
211.02	1,568	177	51	26	64	534	218	498	0	0
212	2,347	1,900	13	17	18	89	135	141	34	0
213	2,445	1,688	88	23	11	145	169	321	0	0
215	1,957	1,766	39	0	0	0	32	0	120	0
216.02	2,764	1,704	55	0	149	226	293	337	0	0
216.03	801	768	13	0	0	0	0	20	0	0
216.04	2,255	1,291	127	0	0	385	203	197	50	0
216.05	954	779	77	0	0	0	56	42	0	0
216.06	2,085	1,774	58	6	20	76	115	24	12	0
216.08	1,908	1,302	51	0	0	41	75	75	357	9
216.09	997	772	10	11	0	28	82	84	10	0
220.01	1,156	1,042	17	0	20	0	0	0	77	0
220.02	1,834	949	107	0	66	25	0	14	673	0
City of Amarillo	77,885	55,083	2,350	2,332	2,177	3,551	3,643	5095	3,549	105
Potter County	46,966	31,580	1,190	1,895	1,648	1,773	1,838	2780	4,166	96
Randall County	50,143	35,623	1,401	529	1,215	2,057	2,256	3051	4,002	9
Amarillo MSA	100,834	70,396	2,631	2,428	2,869	3,830	4,118	5843	8,614	105

**AMARILLO TENURE BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT      APPENDIX U**

<b>Period of Construction</b>	<b>Owner Occupied</b>	<b>Renter Occupied</b>	<b>Occupied Units</b>
2005 or later	11,056	18,731	29,787
2000 to 2004	10,738	4,846	15,584
1990 to 1999	10,749	1,703	12,452
1980 to 1989	4,971	460	5,431
1970 to 1979	3,587	100	3,687
1969 or earlier	2,998	183	3,181
<b>Total</b>	<b>44,099</b>	<b>26,023</b>	<b>70,122</b>

**CITY OF AMARILLO VARIOUS HOUSING QUALITY INDICATORS      APPENDIX V**

<b>City Water Connection*</b> (obtained from City Utilities Department)	61,903
<b>City Sewage Disposal*</b> (obtained from City Solid Waste Department)	58,149
<b>House Heating Fuel**</b>	70,122
Utility Gas	48,145
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	753
Electricity	20,658
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	41
Coal or coke	0
Wood	313
Solar energy	11
Other fuel	52
No fuel used	149
<b>Kitchen Facilities**</b>	70,122
Complete kitchen facilities	69,288
No complete kitchen facilities	834
<b>Telephone**</b>	70,122
With telephone	65,418
No telephone	4,704
<b>Plumbing Facilities**</b>	70,122
Complete	69,740
Incomplete	382

\*Year-round housing units

\*\* Occupied housing Units