


Changing Numbers Part 2



Planning Department
The City of Amarillo, Texas
Census 2000 Data

THE CHANGING NUMBERS PART II

Planning and Zoning Commission Members

Robert A Bobby W Hutchinson, Chair

Paul Williamson, Vice Chair

Roy Urrutia

Terry Harris

Richard Sims

Planning Staff

Vicki Covey
Director of Community Services

Kelley Shaw
Planning Director

Jeri Geldenhuys
Planner I

Jennifer Robinson
Planning Designer

Cris Valverde
Planner II

Reymundo Campos
Planning Technician

Nithila Hopper
Planner II

Jennifer Ledbetter
Administrative Technician II

April 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	
GEOGRAPHIC COMPARISONS OF CENSUS DATA.....	1
INCOME	2
Median Family Income.....	2
Low and Moderate Income.....	6
Income by Race.....	6
Poverty Status.....	7
TRANSPORTATION.....	10
Place of Work.....	10
Means of Transportation.....	10
Travel Time.....	11
Vehicles Available.....	12
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT.....	13
Years of School Completed.....	13
Enrollment.....	14
EMPLOYMENT STATUS.....	14
Labor Force.....	15
Class of Worker.....	15
Industry.....	16
MOBILITY AND SELF-CARE LIMITATION	16
STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS.....	17
Year of Construction.....	17
Number of Units in Structure.....	18
Year Moved into Housing Unit.....	19
Types of Group Quarters.....	19
HOUSING QUALITY.....	20
SUMMARY.....	21
APPENDICES.....	23

TABLES

	PAGE
TABLE 1	MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME.....3
TABLE 2	INCOME CATEGORIES.....3
TABLE 3	FAMILY INCOME IN 1999 BY RACE.....7
TABLE 4	BELOW POVERTY STATUS IN 1999.....8
TABLE 5	PLACE OF WORK.....10
TABLE 6	TRAVEL TIME TO WORK.....12
TABLE 7	VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2000.....12
TABLE 8	YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY RACE.....14
TABLE 9	AMARILLO MSA CLASS OF WORKER.....15
TABLE 10	MOBILITY AND SELF-CARE LIMITATION STATUS, 2000.....16
TABLE 11	YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION.....17
TABLE 12	PERCENTAGE OF HOUSING INVENTORY BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE.....18

GRAPHS

	PAGE
GRAPH 1	PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE, 1999.....9
GRAPH 2	PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY AGE, 1999.....9
GRAPH 3	MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK.....11
GRAPH 4	CITY OF AMARILLO YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED.....13

MAPS

	PAGE
MAP 1A	MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME, 1990.....4
MAP 1B	MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME, 2000.....4
MAP 2A	PERCENTAGE OF LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES, 1990.....5
MAP 2B	PERCENTAGE OF LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES, 2000.....5

APPENDICES

	PAGE
APPENDIX A	CHANGES IN CENSUS TRACTS BY YEAR.....25
APPENDIX B	CENSUS TRACTS, CITY OF AMARILLO.....27
APPENDIX C	MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND FAMILY INCOME.....29
APPENDIX D	FAMILY INCOME IN 1999.....30
APPENDIX E	HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN 1999.....31
APPENDIX F	COMPARISON OF LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES FROM 1990 TO 2000.....32
APPENDIX G	PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE.....33
APPENDIX H	PERCENTAGE OF AGE GROUP BELOW POVERTY LEVEL, 1999.....34
APPENDIX I	VEHICLES AVAILABLE BY CENSUS TRACT.....35
APPENDIX J	YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY CENSUS TRACT.....36
APPENDIX K	SCHOOL ENROLLMENT BY CENSUS TRACT.....37
APPENDIX L	NUMBER OF PERSONS UNEMPLOYED IN 1999.....38
APPENDIX M	EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2000.....39

APPENDIX N NUMBER OF WORKERS IN FAMILY.....40

APPENDIX O 2000 LABOR FORCE BY GENDER BY CENSUS TRACT.....41

APPENDIX P 2000 FEMALES IN LABOR FORCE WITH OWN
CHILDREN.....42

APPENDIX Q EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR, 1990-2000.....43

APPENDIX R MOBILITY AND SELF-CARE LIMITATION STATUS.....44

APPENDIX S CONSTRUCTION YEAR OF 2000 UNITS.....45

APPENDIX T YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION BY CENSUS TRACT.....46

APPENDIX U UNITS IN STRUCTURE BY CENSUS TRACT.....47

APPENDIX V TENURE BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT,
2000.....48

APPENDIX W VARIOUS HOUSING QUALITY INDICATORS, 2000.....49

INTRODUCTION

The Changing Numbers, Part II is the second publication issued by the City of Amarillo, which analyzes 2000 census material. This publication analyzes 2000 census data relating to income, labor force, educational attainment, and other factors that affect the local economy. Numbers pertaining to the City of Amarillo are emphasized, and are often broken down to the census tract level. In many cases, the numbers corresponding to the Amarillo Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and both Potter and Randall Counties are given. In addition, when census information has been reported on a basis that can be compared, trends may be shown between 1990 and 2000.

This publication is based on information contained in Census Summary Tape File 3 (STF-3), which was derived from the 2000 census sample. The data are estimates of the actual figures that would have been obtained from a complete count. Nationally, approximately one out of every six housing units was included in the 2000 census sample. Estimates derived from the sample are expected to be different from the 100-percent figures because they are subject to sampling and non-sampling errors. Sampling error in data arises from the selection of persons and housing units to be included in the sample. Non-sampling error affects both sample and 100-percent data, and is introduced as a result of errors that may occur during the collection and processing phases of the census. As a result, STF-3 data, including information contained in this publication, will vary slightly from the complete count. Because census results are based on statistics, certain comparisons can be made that will determine patterns of change. Because many readers of this publication are interested in what has occurred in their specific neighborhoods, some of the enclosed data has been categorized at the census tract level. Because data at this level often runs counter to general trends, one must be careful not to assume that any one census tract fits the general, city-wide trend.

GEOGRAPHIC COMPARISONS OF CENSUS DATA

The purpose of this publication is to compare 2000 census data with 1990 information. Comparisons have become more complex because geographic areas have changed for some of the census tracts over the past two decades. An awareness of the geographic changes is critical when comparing the census tracts from decade to decade.

Some census tracts (abbreviated as "CT") in the Amarillo area did not have enough population to warrant being a separate census tract according to census bureau's criteria. ¹ The tracts have been combined as follows:

- 2000 CT 133 is now just the western portion of 1990 CT 133.
- 2000 CT 145 was created by combining 1990 CT 108 and CT 109.
- 2000 CT 146 was created by combining most of 1990 CT 113 and northern portions of 1990 CT 112 & CT 114.
- 2000 CT 147 was created by combining 1990 CT 105 and southern portions of 1990 CT 112, CT 113, & CT 114.
- 2000 CT 148 was created by combining 1990 CT 121 & CT 129.
- 2000 CT 149 was created by combining 1990 CT 123 & CT 125.
- 2000 CT 150 was created by combining 1990 CT 127 & CT 128.97.
- 2000 CT 151 was created by combining 1990 CT 135 & CT 136.

- 2000 CT 152 was created by combining 1990 CT 137 & CT 138.
- 2000 CT 153 was created by combining 1990 CT 131 & the eastern portion of 1990 CT 133.

Some census tract designations for the 2000 Census were made to correct errors the Census Bureau made in digitizing the 1990 Census maps. At the time the errors were made, the only solution was for the Census Bureau to designate new census tracts using unique .97 and .98 suffixes to the census tract numbering. For the 2000 Census, these errors have been corrected by properly renumbering the census tracts that were digitized in error. The 2000 corrections include:

- 2000 CT 128 was 1990 CT 128.98.
- 2000 CT 215 was corrected by combining 1990 CT 215 & CT 220.97.
- 2000 CT 220 was 1990 CT 220.98. Some census tracts met the Census Bureau's criteria to be divided into new census tracts.
- 1990 CT 217 was divided into 2000 CT 217.01 and CT 217.02.

These geographic revisions and new census tract designations are somewhat difficult to understand; however, the changes must be kept in mind when comparing data on census tracts from one decade to another. For clarification on these changes, see Appendices A and B on pages 25 and 27 respectively.

INCOME

During the decade of the 1990's, money income rose steadily. Between 1990 and 2000 many variables, including a fluctuating economy, inflation pressures, and an increase in the number of workers per family helped lead to this increase. In addition, many local economic factors also influenced income levels. In particular, a varying agricultural as well as retail economy and the boom of the oil industry, increasing construction, new job creation especially in healthcare and by Bell helicopters played a major role in helping to determine income levels within the community.

The Bureau of the Census has released several different levels of income figures. Among these are per capita income, household income, family income, and income by race and/or poverty status. The most important income indicator is median income, in which the income distribution is divided into two equal parts, one having incomes above the median and the other having incomes below the median. For households and families, the median income is based on the distribution of the total number of units, including those with no income. When dealing with this information, it is important to note that the income data collected by the Census reflects income received during the year prior to the census. For example, 1999 annual income is reflected in the 2000 Census.

Median Family Income

According to Table 1, Median Family Income, on page, the median family income (MFI) for the four local entities increased over their 1990 census levels. Even though these entities saw increases, their rates of increase were not as great as those experienced during the 1970's. For example, the median family income for both the City of Amarillo and the Amarillo MSA slipped below those of the United States as a whole and the State of Texas.

In 1990, the median family income for the 45,968 families in Amarillo was \$42,536. Only Randall County, with a median family income of \$52,420, surpassed that of the state and the nation. It is also important to note that even though each entity showed an increase in its income level, only a portion of the increases shown in Table 1 represent a gain in real purchasing power. This is due to inflation, the increased cost of living, and an increase in the consumer price index during this period of time.

**Table 1
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME**

Geography	1970	1980	1990	2000
United States	\$9,867	\$19,908	\$35,225	\$50,046
Texas	\$8,486	\$19,619	\$31,553	\$45,861
Potter County	\$8,013	\$17,409	\$24,692	\$35,321
Randall County	\$10,637	\$24,229	\$37,156	\$52,420
Amarillo MSA	\$8,903	\$20,216	\$30,688	\$43,514
City of Amarillo	\$8,867	\$20,100	\$30,144	\$42,536



Maps 1A and 1B, Median Family Income for 1990 and 2000, on page 4, show that only slight changes have occurred in the census tracts with median-family incomes both above and below the city-wide median income. Both 1990 and 2000 maps show that the majority of higher income families live in southwestern and western Amarillo, while families with lower incomes live in the central and eastern parts of the City. For a more detailed look at income levels, Appendix C, on page 29, shows median household income and median family income for 2000 by census tract. Corresponding to the rise in the median family income has been the continued upward shift of incomes along the entire income scale. Table 2, Income Categories, below, indicates the percentage of families in various income categories within the City of Amarillo.

**Table 2
DISTRIBUTION OF FAMILY INCOME**


Annual Income	1970	1980	1990	2000
Under \$10,000	59%	17%	12%	7%
\$10,000 - \$14,999	26%	16%	9%	6%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	12%	33%	20%	13%
\$25,000 - \$49,000	2%	29%	38%	33%
\$50,000 and over	1%	5%	21%	41%

As can be seen in Table 2, the number of families in the 2000 Census with incomes less than \$15,000 has been steadily declining since 1970 up to 13% in 2000. Those families with yearly incomes between \$15,000 and \$49,999 has decreased from 58% in 1990 to 46% in 2000, while it has been compensated by families making \$50,000 per year and over, which have increased substantially from 21% in 1990 to 41% in 2000. Family income levels for 2000, by census tract, are detailed in Appendix D, on page 30, while household income levels by census tract are shown in Appendix E, on page 31.

Maps 1A and 1B
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME IN 1990 AND 2000



Maps 2A and 2B
LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES IN 1990 AND 2000



Low and Moderate Income

Income data obtained from the Bureau of the Census is utilized by many public and private agencies. At the local level, the most common use of the data is for current and long-range forecasting and traditional planning activities, while at the national level it is used for assessing the distribution of federal funds for various programs. In particular, the Federal government uses income data to determine the distribution of aid to low and moderate income families.

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) defines low and moderate income as being 80% or less of the median family income (MFI) for the Amarillo MSA. Very low income is defined as 50% or less of the median family income for the MSA. The corresponding income figures for Amarillo have been calculated as follows for 1990 and 2000:

	<u>1990</u>	<u>2000</u>
<i>Amarillo MSA MFI</i>	\$30,688	\$ 43,514
<i>Low/Mod Family Income</i>	\$24,550	\$ 34,811
<i>Low Family Income</i>	\$15,344	\$ 21,757

Maps 2A and 2B, Percentage of Low and Moderate Income Families for 1990 and 2000, on page 6, show changes in the proportion of low and moderate income families by census tract over the past decade.

Of the thirty nine census tracts kept the same boundaries between 1990 and 2000, six increased their proportion of families with low and moderate incomes while twenty-nine remained the same. Four census tracts fared better than last time. The majority of the census tracts that increased their proportion of low and moderate income families are located in the central and northern parts of the City. These locations correspond to older, inner-city neighborhoods or areas that have a high concentration of apartment complexes and/or rental property. Additional census tracts with high proportions of low and moderate income families are located in the extreme eastern and western parts of the City. The Southwest part of the city has shown some increase in income levels. Caution must be taken when examining these tracts because the numbers that relate to them can be deceiving. These census tracts have large land areas and few families upon which to establish valid income levels.

Appendix F, on page 32, which compares low and moderate-income families by census tract, details the increase in the number of low and moderate-income families between 1990 and 2000. Citywide, the percent of low-income families increased slightly from 21% in 1990 to 26% in 2000. During the same decade, the percent of low and moderate-income families remains the same at 40%.

Income by Race

Family income reported in the 2000 Census, when analyzed by race, shows that differences exist between the major racial categories. Table 3, Family Income by Race (2000 Census), on page 7, reveals these differences. As can be seen, Blacks have the highest proportion of families (20%) with annual incomes below \$10,000. This population group is followed by persons of Hispanic origin and American Indians at 11% and 10%, respectively.

Of the families making between \$10,000 and \$34,999 per year, persons of Hispanic origin had the highest proportion, with 49%. Closely behind this category was the Black population, with 45%. Those families with yearly incomes over \$35,000 per year was shared by Whites, and Asian or Pacific Islanders at 66%. This racial category was followed by the American Indians at 49% and the people of Hispanic Origin (ethnicity) at 40%. Approximately 52% of all White families within the City of Amarillo had yearly incomes over the median family income of \$42,536. Conversely, only one in three Black families and one in four Hispanic families claimed incomes over the City's median family income.

**Table 3
FAMILY INCOME BY RACE (2000 CENSUS)**

Annual Income	Total	White	Black	American Indian	Asian Pacific Islander	Hispanic Origin
Less than \$10,000	7%	5%	20%	10%	8%	11%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5%	4%	13%	4%	4%	9%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	6%	6%	10%	19%	2%	9%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	7%	6%	8%	6%	7%	13%
\$25,000 to \$29,999	7%	7%	8%	6%	6%	10%
\$30,000 to \$34,999	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	8%
\$35,000 to \$39,999	6%	7%	3%	6%	7%	8%
\$40,000 to \$44,999	7%	7%	5%	5%	7%	7%
\$45,000 to \$49,999	6%	6%	6%	8%	7%	5%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	10%	11%	8%	6%	13%	7%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	12%	12%	7%	8%	13%	7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	10%	12%	3%	2%	7%	3%
\$100,000 to \$124,000	5%	5%	2%	8%	2%	1%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	2%	2%	0%	4%	5%	1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	1%	2%	0%	0%	3%	1%
\$200,000 or more	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%

Of the families making between \$10,000 and \$34,999 per year, persons of Hispanic origin had the highest proportion, with 49%. Closely behind this category was the Black population, with 45%. Those families with yearly incomes over \$35,000 per year was shared by Whites, and Asian or Pacific Islanders at 66%. This racial category was followed by the American Indians at 49% and the people of Hispanic Origin (ethnicity) at 40%. Approximately 52% of all White families within the City of Amarillo had yearly incomes over the median family income of \$42,536. Conversely, only one in three Black families and one in four Hispanic families claimed incomes over the City's median family income.

Poverty Status

Poverty status is determined for all persons except institutionalized persons, persons in military group quarters and in college dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 15 years of age. local variations in the cost of living. The poverty thresholds are revised annually to allow for changes in the cost of living reflected in the Consumer Price Index. In 2000, the average poverty threshold for

a family of four persons was \$17,603. Poverty thresholds are applied on a national basis and are not adjusted for regional, state, or Within the City of Amarillo the incidence of poverty increased over the previous decade. Following the Bureau of Census definition of poverty income, 11% of the families in Amarillo had incomes reported in the 2000 Census below the poverty level. This number compares to the 13% who were below the poverty level in the 1990 Census.

In addition, 14% of the households and 15% of the persons in Amarillo were below the poverty line in the 2000 Census. As shown in Table 4, Below Poverty Status (2000 Census), below, the percentage of persons, households, and families who were below the poverty line in the 2000 Census is indicated by entity. As seen below Potter County consistently had the highest percentages below poverty level while Randall County consistently had the lowest percentages.


**Table 4
BELOW POVERTY STATUS (2000 CENSUS)**

Geography	Persons		Households		Families	
	Number	% of all persons	Number	% of all households	Number	% of all families
Potter County	20,478	19%	7,476	18%	4,221	15 %
Randall County	8,261	8%	3,788	9%	1,646	6%
Amarillo MSA	28,739	14%	11,264	14%	5,867	10%
City of Amarillo	24,897	15%	9,478	14%	5,118	11%


When Amarillo's poverty status is examined by race, Blacks have the largest proportion of the population with annual incomes below the poverty level. Graph 1, Persons Below Poverty Level by Race (2000 Census), page 9, details the percentage of poverty status by race. At 35%, Blacks are nearly 12% above the next highest group, persons of Hispanic origin. Following the Hispanics are the American Indians, Eskimos, or Aleuts, at 15%. Appendix G, Persons Below Poverty Level by Race, on page 34, provides the number of persons below the poverty level, by race, for the City of Amarillo, Potter County, Randall County, and the Amarillo MSA.

When the City of Amarillo is analyzed by age group, 13% of the population that is between 18-64 years of age is below the poverty level. Graph 2, Persons Below Poverty Level by Age (2000 Census), on page 9, displays the percentage of people below the poverty level by age group, while Appendix H, on page 35, details this breakdown by entity.

Graph 1
PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE (2000 CENSUS)



Graph 2
PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY AGE (2000 CENSUS)



TRANSPORTATION

Data obtained from the census is critical in helping to determine future city-wide transportation needs. Transportation needs are based on three primary factors: expansion of urban land use, increased motor vehicle ownership, and population. By examining census data, patterns and trends which affect transportation needs become apparent. Such data as vehicle availability, means of transportation to work, and place of work can be important indicators. In addition, travel demand (the ability to generate people trips between two places) can be mathematically projected based on census data. As a result of this, street and highway improvements can be timed and phased for construction.

Place of Work

Data relating to place of work was tabulated for all workers who were age 16 years and over. The data on place of work refers to the geographic location at which the worker carried out his or her occupational activities during the week. If a person worked at more than one location during the week, place of work is the location where he or she worked the greatest number of hours.

According to data obtained from the Census Bureau, the majority of Amarillo employees work very close to home. 85% of the workers over the age of 16 work within the city limits while the remaining 15% work elsewhere. Of the persons who live in the Amarillo MSA, 97% work within the MSA while 3% work outside of it. Of significance is the fact that the City of Amarillo is located in two counties. As a result of this, 59% of all workers work in their own county of residence, while 41% work outside of it. Table 5, Place of Work, below, details the actual number of workers, by entity, as well as their corresponding percentages.

**Table 5
PLACE OF WORK* (2000 CENSUS)**

Place of Work	No of Workers	Percentages
Worked in State of Residence	79,071	99%
Worked outside State of Residence	533	1%
Worked in MSA of Residence	77,026	97%
Worked outside MSA of Residence	2,578	3%
Worked in County of Residence	46,383	59%
Worked outside County of Residence	32,688	41%
Worked in City of Residence	67,854	85%
Worked outside City of Residence	11,750	15%

* Workers 16 Years and Over

Means of Transportation


Means of transportation to work refers to the principal mode of travel or type of conveyance that a person usually uses to get from home to work during the week. If a person uses a different means of transportation on different days of the week, means of transportation is the one he or she used most often. If a person used more than one means of transportation to get to work each day, he or she was asked to report the one used for the longest distance during the work trip. Upon examining

the means of transportation that Amarillo residents take to work, it becomes apparent that many of the conservation gains made during the 1970's were lost during the last decade. According to Graph 3, Means of Transportation to Work, on page 12, the number of Amarillo residents who drove cars, trucks, or vans to work increased significantly over the last decade. These gains reduced the percentage of those who carpooled to work. In 1990, 81% of the City's workers drove alone to work. By 2000, this had increased to 82%. The percentage of Amarillo's workers carpooled to work in 1990, remains the same in 2000 which accounts for 13%. Of the workers who did carpool to work, the private vehicle occupancy rate for cars, trucks, and vans in 2000 was:

Two Person Carpool	76%
Three Person Carpool	15%
Four Person Carpool	5%
Five or More	4%

As can be seen, driving alone is still the most popular means of getting to work. In fact, four out of every five workers in Amarillo drive to work alone. Conversely, about one in every five workers carpools.

**Graph 3
MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK***



Travel Time

Travel time to work refers to the total number of minutes that it usually takes a person to get from home to work during the week. The elapsed time includes time spent waiting for public transportation, picking up passengers in carpools, and time spent in other activities related to getting to work. Travel time to work can be an important statistic when planning for future transportation needs. Specifically, this data is a good indicator of how a city's traffic flows and whether or not there are any major areas of traffic congestion. Because Amarillo has good access

both north to south and east to west, distance to work is more accurately measured in minutes rather than in miles. According to Table 6, Travel Time to Work, below 83% of Amarillo's workers travel between 5 and 29 minutes to their jobs, while the majority travel between 10 and 20 minutes. Relatively few employees travel longer than 30 minutes.

**Table 6
TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (CENSUS 2000)**

Time	City of Amarillo percentages	Amarillo MSA percentages
Less than 5 Minutes	3%	4%
5-9 Minutes	15%	14%
10-14 Minutes	27%	24%
15-19 Minutes	25%	23%
20-29 Minutes	16%	18%
30-44 Minutes	7%	9%
45-59 Minutes	2%	2%
60-89 Minutes	2%	2%
90 Or More Minutes	1%	2%
Worked at Home	2%	2%

Vehicles Available

Vehicles available relates to the specified number of passenger cars, vans, and pickup or panel trucks of one-ton capacity or less that are kept at home and available for use by a family member. Vehicles rented or leased for one month or more, company vehicles, and police and government vehicles are included if they are kept at home and used for non-business purposes. Dismantled or immobile vehicles are excluded, as are vehicles kept at home but used only for business purposes.

Within the City of Amarillo, 7% of the 67,612 households do not own an automobile. The majority of the households within the City (41%) have two vehicles available for their use. Table 7, Vehicles Available 2000, below, details occupied housing units and the number of vehicles at their disposal. Further information relating to vehicle availability, by census tract, is detailed in Appendix I on page 36.

**Table 7
VEHICLES AVAILABLE* 2000**

Geography	None	One	Two	Three or more
City of Amarillo	4507	25749	27559	9797
Potter County	3567	16125	15515	5553
Randall County	1382	13648	18572	7638
Amarillo MSA	4949	29773	34087	13191

* Vehicles available refers to all occupied housing units with a vehicle available for use by household members.

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT


Education is a very important indicator of a city's quality of life. A knowledgeable and skilled population can provide valuable participation and ideas, and can contribute to the resolution of problems. In addition, an educated population can command a higher income, which results in more purchasing power and a higher standard of living. When this occurs, it is not only the individual person, but also the entire community, which benefits.

Years of School Completed

During the 1990's, the residents of Amarillo became more highly educated. In 1990, 25% of Amarillo's population age 25 and over had not received their high school diploma. This compares to the 20% who had not received their high school diploma by 2000. In contrast, 80% (85,485) of Amarillo's residents age 25 and over had received their high school diploma by 2000. This is a 5% increase over the 75% who received their high school diploma by 1990.

As can be seen, the residents of Amarillo are increasing their level of education. Whereas in the past many people were content with a high school diploma, many high school graduates are now pursuing a college education. In 1990, 18% of Amarillo's population age 25 and over had graduated from college. By 2000, this percent had increased to 21%. More noticeable, though, is the percent gain in persons who had attended 1-3 years of college. In 1990, this amounted to 30 percent of those 25 years and over. By 2000, 33% had attended 1-3 years of college.

**Graph 4
CITY OF AMARILLO YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED***



Graph 4, City of Amarillo Years of School Completed, above, details this upward trend in years of school completed by persons 25 years and over while Appendix J, Year of School Completed, on page 37, examines it by census tract. When years of school are examined by race, it becomes apparent that Whites have achieved the highest educational levels. 25% have completed only high

school while 35% have attended at least some college. In addition, 23% of the White population has attended four or more years of college. Asian and Pacific Islanders have the lowest level of educational attainment when high school graduation rates are examined. Only 19% of this race has graduated from high school. This compares to 28% for American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts, and 33% for Blacks. Persons of Hispanic origin have the lowest level of educational attainment when years of college are examined. Only 5% of this ethnic group has four or more years of college education.

Table 8, Years of School Completed by Race, below, details both the number of people and the proportion of the racial population within the City who have completed various educational levels.

**Table 8
YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY RACE* (2000)**

Race	Elementary0-8 yrs	High School		College	
		1-3 years	4 yrs	1-3 yrs	4 yrs or more
Total	8,095 (7%)	14,231 (13%)	27,578 (26%)	35,793 (33%)	22,114 (21%)
White	44,35 (5%)	10,106(12%)	22,425 (25%)	31,097 (35%)	20,443 (23%)
Black	343 (7%)	1,165(22%)	1,711 (33%)	1,546 (30%)	402 (8%)
American Indian	72 (9%)	136(16%)	241 (28%)	253 (30%)	144 (17%)
Asian, Pacific Islander	545 (24%)	338(15%)	441 (19%)	408 (18%)	538 (24%)
Other	2,700 (24%)	2,486(23%)	2,760 (25%)	2,489 (23%)	587 (5%)
Hispanic Origin	4,409 (25%)	4,058 (23%)	4,546 (26%)	3,727 (21%)	960 (5%)

* Persons 25 years and over

Enrollment

In 2000, 48,115 persons within the City of Amarillo (age 3 years and over) were enrolled in school. This number is over 27% of the City's total population. Of this number, 43,524 were enrolled in public schools and 4,591 were enrolled in private schools. Of the different scholastic levels, college enrollment saw a very significant increase during the past decade. In 1990, there were 9,574 residents enrolled in college. By 2000, this number had increased to 9,992. It should be noted that school enrollment figures were taken during the spring session of the school year, when the number of students is generally lower than in the fall of the year. As a result, the data may be an underestimate of the actual total enrollment figures. For more detailed information, Appendix K, on page 38, details school enrollment by census tract.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS

A good indicator of the strength of a community's economy is the status of its labor force. In 2000, the City of Amarillo had 130,592 residents over the age of 16. Of this number, 85,917 (66%) were in the labor force while 44,675 (34%) were not. Of those who were in the labor force, 81,172 (94%) were employed and 4,745 (6%) were unemployed. 53% of the unemployed were male while 47%

were female. These numbers differ slightly from 1990, when approximately 93% of the labor force was employed and 7% was unemployed. Appendix L, on page 39, details the number of persons who were unemployed by gender and census tract while Appendix M, on page 40, shows employment status by entity.

Labor Force

The City of Amarillo has 40,840 families with one or more workers. This number contrasts with the 5,128 families that have no workers. When examining the families with one or more workers, 51% have two workers while 37% have just one and 12% have three or more workers. As can be seen, the two worker family is by far the most dominant type of family in Amarillo. Appendix N, Number of Workers in Family, on page 41, examines this breakdown by census tract.

When the 2000 labor force is examined by race, Whites, at 80%, make up the largest proportion. Blacks account for 5% of the labor force while Asian or Pacific Islanders and American Indians, Eskimos, or Aleuts comprise 2% and 1%, respectively. Persons of Hispanic origin comprise 19% of the City's labor force.

Over the past few decades the number of females in Amarillo's labor force has grown steadily. In 1970, 1980 and 1990, 38%, 42% and 46% of the labor force was made up of women, respectively. By 2000, there were 40,297 females in Amarillo's labor force. This accounts for nearly 47% of the entire city's labor force. Appendix O, 2000 Labor Force By Gender By Census Tract, on page 42, details this increase.

Of the 40,297 females in Amarillo's labor force, 16,147 (40%) had dependent children of their own. Approximately 58% of these females had school age children (6-17 years), while 18% had at least one child under the age of 6 and 24% had children under the age of six. This latter percent is important because it is a good indicator of the number of households for which day care may need to be provided. Appendix P, 2000 Females in Labor Force with Own Children, on page 43, specifies, by census tract, the number of females with children under 18 years of age.

Class of Worker

Class of worker categorizes persons according to the type of ownership of their employing organization. Upon examining the Amarillo MSA's class of workers, it becomes apparent that changes have occurred during the past decade.

**Table 9
AMARILLO MSA CLASS OF WORKER**

Class	1980		1990		2000	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Private Wage and Salary Worker	64,366	77%	66,683	76%	62,755	77%
Federal and State Government Worker	5,763	7%	7,637	8%	6,784	8%
Local Government Worker	6,928	8%	5,283	6%	5,245	6%
Self-Employed Worker	6,194	7%	7,777	9%	6,041	8%
Unpaid Family Worker	365	1%	475	1%	255	1%

The percent of private wage and salary workers increased from 76% in 1990 to 77% in 2000. The percent of local government workers increased slightly but remains at 6%. During the same time period, the percent of federal and state government workers remained the same at 8%. The percent of self-employed workers and unpaid family workers also decreased slightly by approximately by 1% during the 1990's. Table 9, Amarillo MSA Class of Worker, page 15, details these changes from 1990 to 2000.

Industry

Employment, by economic sector, for the City of Amarillo has also changed during the past decade. Specifically, the number of persons working in agriculture, construction, manufacturing, transportation, communications, and wholesale trade has slightly decreased during the past ten years. This decrease has been countered by a small increase in the number of persons working in finance, business, personal services, entertainment, health services and public administration. Construction remains stable whereas old industries like repair services have give way to information and professional and management jobs. Appendix Q, Employment by Economic Sector, on page 44, details this change in workers during the past decade.

MOBILITY AND SELF-CARE LIMITATION

A person is defined as having a mobility limitation if he or she has a health condition that has lasted for six or more months, and this health condition makes it difficult for the person to go outside the home alone. Examples of outside activities include going out for entertainment, shopping, or visiting the doctor's office.

Similarly, a person is identified as having a self-care limitation if he or she has a health condition that has lasted for six or more months, and this health condition makes it difficult for the person to take care of their own personal needs, such as dressing, bathing, or getting around inside the home. The health conditions mentioned above can be either mental or physical, but they cannot include temporary health problems such as broken bones or colds.

People with such health conditions often have a difficult time meeting day-to-day challenges and/or using public transportation. Because of this, the 2000 Census gathered information on these limitations so that the public and private sectors could plan for and provide special services for the elderly and physically challenged. As a result of this, more alternatives are becoming available for these special populations.

**Table 10
AMARILLO MOBILITY STATUS**

Age and Category		City of Amarillo	Potter County	Randall County	Amarillo MSA
16-64 Years	Mobility and/or Self-Care Limitation	8,000	6,449	3,040	9,489
	No Limitation	100,595	65,414	65,017	130,431
65 Yrs. And Over	Mobility and/or Self-Care Limitation	6,031	3,972	2,985	6,957
	No Limitation	15,966	9,366	9,466	18,832
Total With Mobility and/or Self-Care Limitation Status		14,031	1,0421	6,025	16,446

Within the City of Amarillo, 14,031 people of age 16 and over have mobility and/or self-care limitations. This is approximately 12% of the population age 16 and over. Of the people with limitations, 57% are between the ages of 16 and 64, while 43% are over 65 years of age. Within the Amarillo MSA, 68% of the persons with mobility and/or self-care limitations live in Potter County, while 32% live in Randall County. It is important to note that the largest percentage of persons with limitations lives in Potter County. In comparison to Randall County, Potter County has an older population, and limitations most often occur among older residents. Table 10, Mobility and Self-Care Limitation Status, 2000, page 16, examines limitation status for both counties, the City, and the Amarillo MSA, while Appendix R, on page 45, details limitation status, by census tract, for the City of Amarillo.

STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS

The Amarillo housing supply is dynamic. Within the community, new units are constantly being added to the housing stock while old units are removed. As this occurs, the characteristics that define the housing units are impacted in various ways. Changes occur in the type of each structure, the number of units in each structure, the age of each structure, and the quality of each structure.

Year of Construction

Data on the year a structure was built refers to when a building was first constructed, not when it was remodeled, added to, or converted. For housing units under construction, all exterior windows, doors, and final usable floors had to be in place. For a mobile home or trailer, the manufacturer's model year was assumed to be the year built. The age of a housing unit can reveal many different things. Older housing units sometimes lack modern conveniences and need repairs and more frequent maintenance. Newer housing units are often of higher quality and thus need fewer repairs and less upkeep.

**Table 11
YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION**

Year of construction	1980 Census		1990 Census		2000 Census	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1999 to March 2000					746	1%
1995 to 1998					2,972	4%
1990 to 1994					2,132	3%
1980 to 1989			11344	16%	10,545	15%
1970 to 1979	12,876	22%	13134	19%	13,999	19%
1960 to 1969	13,206	22%	12805	19%	12,729	18%
1950 to 1959	17,867	30%	16421	24%	16,047	22%
1940 to 1949	9,133	15%	8625	13%	7,179	10%
1939 or Earlier	6,889	11%	6263	9%	5,983	8%
Total	59,971	100%	68592	100%	72,332	100%

As Table 11, Year of Construction, above, shows, 8% of Amarillo's housing stock (5,850 units) has been constructed since 1990 and can be considered as fairly new. 15% of the City's housing stock was constructed during the 1980's, while the majority of the city's housing stock (22%) was constructed in the 1950's. Only 8% (5,983 units) of the city's housing stock was constructed prior

to 1940. The housing attrition rate for the City of Amarillo can be obtained by comparing the 2000 numbers to the 1990 numbers in Table 11. As can be seen, in 1990 there were 6,263 structures in the City that were constructed in 1939 or earlier. By 2000 this number had declined to 5,983. This loss of 280 units can be attributed to demolition, movement of the unit to outside the city limits, or conversion to a use other than residential. Attrition rates for the remaining yearly segments can be obtained by following the same procedure.

It should be noted that an unusual circumstance exists in the data reported in 1990 and 2000 for residential structures built between 1970 and 1979. Census figures for 2000 indicate that 13,999 units were constructed between 1970 and 1979, while 1980 Census figures indicate that only 12,876 units were constructed during the same decade. This discrepancy of 1123 units is the possible result of either a sampling error incurred during enumeration or an undercount in the 1980 Census. This discrepancy also exists between the 1980 and 1990 count.

For further information on the age of housing units, Appendix S, Construction Year of 2000 Housing Units, on page 46, details the age of Amarillo's units by tenure while Appendix T, on page 47, breaks down the data by census tract for 2000.

Number of Units in Structure

A housing structure is a separate building that has either open spaces on all of its sides or is separated from other structures by dividing walls that extend from ground to roof. In order to determine the number of units in a structure, all housing units, both occupied and vacant, are counted. Stores and office space are excluded.

In 2000, approximately 75% of the year-round housing units in the City of Amarillo were single-family housing units. The next largest number of structures (6%) was apartment structures with 50 or more units, while apartment structures with 20 to 49 units accounted for 3% of the housing stock in Amarillo. Table 12, Percentage of Housing Inventory by Units in Structure, below, further details the housing inventory by units, while Appendix U, on page 48, examines the number of units in a structure by census tract.

**Table 12
PERCENTAGE OF HOUSING INVENTORY BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE (CENSUS 2000)**

Unit Type	City of Amarillo	Potter County	Randall County	Amarillo MSA
Single Family Detached	71%	68%	68%	68%
Single Family Attached	4%	3%	4%	3%
Duplex (2 Units)	3%	5%	1%	3%
3 or 4	2%	3%	2%	2%
5 to 9	3%	3%	3%	3%
10 to 19	3%	3%	3%	3%
20 to 49	3%	3%	2%	3%
50 or more	6%	4%	7%	6%
Mobile Home or Trailer	5%	8%	10%	9%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%

Year Moved Into Housing Unit

The year moved into a housing unit refers to the year of the latest move by a householder. If a householder moved back into a housing unit he or she had previously occupied, the year of the latest move is counted. If a householder moved from one apartment to another in the same building, the year the householder moved into the present apartment is used. The intent is to establish the year the present occupancy by the current householder began. It is important to remember that the year the householder moved in is not necessarily the same year that other members of the household moved, although an entire household often moves at the same time.

Of the occupied units within the City in 2000, 10% approximately have been occupied by the same householder since 1969 or earlier. About 9% moved into their current houses between 1970 and 1979, while 14% moved in between 1980 and 1989. Close to 28% of Amarillo's householders became residents of their housing units between 1990 and 1998, while 25% moved in between 1999 and March 2000.

Renters are a more mobile group than their owner counterparts. In 2000, approximately 50% of all renter occupied units had new occupants move in between 1999 and March, 2000. This compares to only 11% of the owners who moved into their homes during the same time frame. Furthermore, 47% of the owner occupied units have had the same householder since before 1990 while only 7% of the renter occupied households have lived in their units since before 1990. Additional data on year moved into housing unit, by tenure, for the City of Amarillo is given in Appendix V, on page 50.

Types of Group Quarters

Persons not living in households are classified by the Census Bureau as living in-group quarters. Two general categories of persons in-group quarters are recognized: 'Institutionalized persons' and 'other group quarters'.

The group "institutionalized persons" includes persons who are under formally authorized, supervised care or custody in institutions. Such persons are classified as patients or inmates, and are generally restricted to institutionalized buildings and grounds and thus have limited interaction with the surrounding community. Examples of institutions where these inmates or patients are housed include correctional institutions, nursing homes, hospitals for the chronically ill, and detention centers.

The group "other persons in group quarters" includes all persons who live in-group quarters other than institutions, as long as there are ten or more unrelated persons living in the unit. If there are fewer, the living quarters are classified as housing units. Examples of group quarters in this category include group homes, religious group quarters, college quarters off campus, and emergency shelters for homeless persons. Other persons also include those individuals who are homeless and live in street locations.

The number of persons residing in-group quarters in Amarillo increased 7% between 1990 and 2000. In 1990 there were 2,152 people living in-group quarters. In 2000, this number had increased to 2,309 persons.

The 2000 breakdown of persons residing in-group quarters within the City is:

<i>Institutionalized Persons:</i>		<i>Other Persons:</i>	
Correctional Institutions	47	College Dormitory	93
Nursing Homes	1,459	Military Quarters	0
Hospitals, schools and wards (incl. Mentally and physically Handicapped)	119	Religious Shelters & Group homes	229
Juvenile Institutions	0	Visible In Street Locations	1
Other Institutions	0	Other Group Quarters	361
	-----		-----
	1,625		684

HOUSING QUALITY

The Changing Numbers, Part I, reference was made to housing and certain conditions, which indicate housing quality. Some of the previously mentioned indicators included housing value, age of the structure, number of rooms in the structure, and whether or not the structure was overcrowded. In addition to these indicators, the Bureau of the Census has provided detailed data relating to house heating fuel, kitchen facilities, telephone availability, and plumbing facilities. The data regarding source of water, sewage disposal that were available for Census 1990 has been discontinued in Census 2000. So the City's count of water and sewer connections were used instead.

Source of Water - A housing unit can receive its water from a variety of sources. Sources of water include public system or private companies, wells, springs, creeks, rivers, lakes, or cisterns.

Sewage Disposal - A housing unit may dispose of its sewage in one of three ways. The unit can be connected to a public sewer, to a septic tank or cesspool, or it can dispose of its sewage by some other means. For a housing unit to be connected to a septic tank or cesspool, the unit must be provided with an underground pit or tank for sewage disposal.

House Heating Fuel - This is the fuel used most to heat the house or apartment.

Kitchen Facilities - A unit has complete kitchen facilities when it has all of the following: an installed sink with piped water; a range, cook top and convection or microwave oven, or cook stove; and a refrigerator. All kitchen facilities must be located in the structure but not necessarily in the same room. Portable cooking equipment is not considered to be a range or cook stove, and an icebox is not considered to be a refrigerator.

Telephone Availability - A telephone must be inside the house or apartment for the unit to be classified as having a telephone. Units where a telephone was located within the building but not in the respondent's living quarters were classified as having no telephone.

Plumbing Facilities - Complete plumbing facilities include hot and cold piped water, a flush toilet and a bathtub or shower. All three facilities must be located inside the house, apartment or mobile home but not necessarily within the same room. Housing units were classified as lacking complete plumbing facilities when any of the three facilities were not present.

Appendix W, on page 51, provides summary information on the various housing quality indicators in Amarillo for 2000. Since the above data is not frequently used by a large number of individuals or agencies, these indicators are not discussed in this publication.

SUMMARY

All the data used in this publication has been taken from Census 2000 except in the housing quality section where the City's water and sewer connection counts were used, due to unavailability of census data. The census counts for various geographies of the City of Amarillo, census tracts within the City of Amarillo, Amarillo Metropolitan Statistical Area, Potter and Randall counties, State of Texas, United States of America can be obtained from the census 2000 gateway at "www.census.gov". The SF-3 tables were alone considered.

The Changing Numbers, Part II, has analyzed changes in income, labor force, educational attainment, and other factors which effect the local economy. Among the significant findings:

The City of Amarillo and the Amarillo MSA had 2000 median family incomes of \$42,536 and \$43,514, respectively. These median family incomes were below those of the State of Texas and the United States.

The proportion of low income families in Amarillo increased from 21% in 1990 to 26% in 2000. During the same decade, the proportion of low and moderate-income families remains the same at 40%.

The White and the Asian or Pacific Islander population shared the largest percentage (66% each) of families with annual incomes over \$35,000 while the Black population had the highest percentage (20%) of families with annual incomes below \$10,000.

11% of the families in Amarillo had 2000 incomes below the poverty level compared to the 13% who were below the poverty level in 1990.

83% of Amarillo's workers travel between 5 and 29 minutes to their jobs, while the majority travel between 10 and 20 minutes.

41% of the households within the City have at least two vehicles available for use, while 7% of the households within the City do not have any automobiles.

In 2000, 80% of Amarillo's residents age 25 or over had graduated from high school. This compares to the 75% who had received their high school diploma by 1990.

In 2000, 33% of Amarillo's population age 25 and over had attended 1-3 years of college. This compares to the 30% who had attended 1-3 years of college in 1990.

Over 27% of the City's population was enrolled in a public or private school or college at the time of the 2000 Census.

In 2000, the City of Amarillo had 85,917 persons in the labor force. The number of women in the labor force grew from 46% in 1990 to 47% in 2000.

Within the City of Amarillo, 14,031 people age 16 and over had mobility and/or self-care limitations. This is approximately 12% of the population age 16 and over.

Of the 72,332 housing units in Amarillo, 8% were constructed since 1990, 15% were built between 1980-1989, 19% were built between 1970-1979, 18% were built between 1960-1969, 22% were built between 1950-1959, and 18% were constructed prior to 1950.

Approximately 75% of the housing stock in Amarillo was made up of single-family units. Duplex units comprised 3% of the housing stock, apartment complexes with 3-49 units comprised 11%, apartment complexes with 50 or more units made up 6%, and mobile homes or trailers made up 5% of Amarillo's housing stock.

In 2000, there were 2,309 persons living in group quarters. Of this number, 1,625 were "institutionalized persons" and 684 were considered "other persons".

A

P

P

E

N

D

I

C

E

S

This page has been intentionally left blank.

CHANGES IN CENSUS TRACTS 2000


APPENDIX A

The diagram below is to help clarify geographic changes in census tracts between 1970 and 2000. This should be helpful when comparing data from decade to decade.


The Appendices tables denote changes with footnotes as follows:

- * The geographic area of this census tract has changed over the decades. The diagram below details these changes.
- ** Due to the changes in the geographic area of this census tract, simple comparisons from decade to decade are not possible. Comparisons can be made, however, by combining data as indicated in the diagram below.


Changes in Census Tracts by Decennial Census




1970 1980 1990 2000




1970 CENSUS TRACTS




1980 CENSUS TRACTS



1990 CENSUS TRACTS



2000 CENSUS TRACTS



MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD AND FAMILY INCOME

APPENDIX C

CENSUS TRACT	MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME	MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME
101	\$25,088	\$51,218
102	\$59,750	\$63,250
103	\$21,000	\$22,208
104	\$47,500	\$62,634
106	\$21,389	\$22,300
107	\$29,545	\$35,360
110	\$27,325	\$32,123
111	\$23,250	\$28,352
115	\$29,063	\$46,484
116	\$30,521	\$38,341
117	\$27,885	\$34,183
118	\$33,546	\$40,743
119	\$23,267	\$28,712
120	\$17,396	\$21,250
122	\$23,649	\$25,625
126	\$25,885	\$27,095
128	\$19,609	\$22,174
130	\$16,982	\$19,348
132	\$40,083	\$55,893
133	\$73,824	\$78,865
134	\$0	\$0
139	\$30,344	\$32,163
141	\$32,215	\$33,665
144	\$41,360	\$41,038
145	\$28,969	\$31,011
146	\$19,306	\$18,750
147	\$26,747	\$30,136
148	\$18,237	\$22,409
149	\$29,420	\$31,731
150	\$26,182	\$29,153
151	\$39,700	\$44,199
152	\$32,791	\$38,917
153	\$22,824	\$25,735

CENSUS TRACT	MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME	MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME
201	\$52,417	\$68,125
202	\$37,550	\$45,294
203	\$30,842	\$43,684
204	\$55,000	\$65,714
205	\$27,040	\$32,074
206	\$44,125	\$47,483
208	\$37,591	\$41,972
209	\$31,883	\$37,669
210	\$50,389	\$52,143
211	\$32,168	\$46,346
212	\$42,009	\$55,870
213	\$39,397	\$55,689
215	\$61,205	\$64,184
216.02	\$48,875	\$67,188
216.03	\$60,509	\$64,375
216.04	\$68,648	\$85,627
216.05	\$57,639	\$68,500
216.06	\$68,980	\$74,107
216.07	\$62,927	\$64,848
217.01	\$0	\$0
220	\$45,000	\$50,710

**CITY OF AMARILLO
 POTTER COUNTY
 RANDALL COUNTY
 AMARILLO MSA**

**\$34,940 \$42,536
 \$29,492 \$35,321
 \$42,712 \$52,420
 \$35,679 \$43,514**

FAMILY INCOME (2000 CENSUS)

APPENDIX D

CENSUS TRACTS	TOTAL FAMILIES	UNDER \$10,000	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$19,999	\$20,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$29,999	\$30,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$49,999	\$50,000-\$74,999	\$75,000-\$99,999	\$100,000 OR MORE
101	508	31	22	16	47	47	5	21	46	160	44	69
102	600	0	4	8	28	14	47	15	98	161	82	143
103	303	53	63	9	44	18	7	45	6	58	0	0
104	969	26	37	62	41	40	29	75	84	189	197	189
106	470	89	55	45	68	26	62	11	49	52	13	0
107	847	45	38	94	84	89	64	121	123	142	9	38
110	523	39	46	49	39	35	87	46	42	68	27	45
111	551	78	57	51	46	58	52	25	121	43	12	8
115	1,076	61	65	50	44	83	113	44	135	293	69	119
116	1,119	71	56	95	109	78	113	76	180	248	36	57
117	853	102	48	78	54	86	67	85	171	113	26	23
118	970	56	31	42	43	92	88	122	129	255	80	32
119	827	79	76	57	114	121	75	74	63	117	28	23
120	348	80	50	28	52	38	20	57	12	0	6	5
122	902	174	94	79	93	122	70	58	55	132	13	12
126	662	77	66	49	108	75	13	49	112	74	23	16
128	1,124	121	181	180	136	114	89	51	108	108	18	18
130	394	90	76	37	30	47	19	0	47	26	0	22
132	445	14	34	0	14	40	15	29	51	98	53	97
133	902	22	0	12	26	0	44	17	74	199	275	233
134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
139	845	72	44	108	124	52	54	37	124	120	44	66
141	609	50	41	47	81	42	67	40	98	111	32	0
144	530	34	11	37	29	68	28	36	127	123	28	9
145	1,448	118	134	131	139	183	91	182	229	168	51	22
146	92	18	7	21	13	16	0	0	0	6	11	0
147	1,101	97	66	143	153	87	117	25	158	143	61	51
148	553	111	98	28	63	27	58	24	37	82	25	0
149	1,428	136	155	103	138	146	72	43	192	288	71	84
150	1,528	204	112	201	115	153	147	106	175	174	67	74
151	635	4	14	31	59	39	40	71	106	180	73	18
152	780	30	71	35	49	72	78	68	141	136	77	23
153	1,204	159	156	144	123	128	104	80	151	102	21	36
201	654	21	7	4	11	20	74	38	53	165	159	102
202	636	19	12	44	36	73	46	52	83	127	73	71
203	546	24	42	23	58	27	43	25	89	130	47	38
204	582	5	24	9	35	10	41	12	65	148	67	166
205	1,014	93	84	68	125	98	88	78	121	163	46	50
206	1,099	51	33	25	48	57	109	100	179	394	60	43
208	1,579	41	51	87	130	114	159	116	309	445	102	25
209	759	64	46	51	36	84	58	75	127	158	43	17
210	702	15	0	10	13	39	64	64	98	317	58	24
211	1,628	78	30	89	124	145	95	92	267	404	170	134
212	1,540	46	27	29	86	130	83	94	158	488	216	183
213	1,565	46	41	68	146	52	44	110	139	548	292	79
215	1,479	6	36	18	22	83	26	64	181	526	353	164
216.02	1,596	69	19	27	63	95	52	60	177	366	244	424
216.03	696	0	9	20	14	14	37	38	86	230	156	92
216.04	1,386	11	21	62	17	38	21	95	119	208	247	547
216.05	496	35	12	32	13	20	10	0	25	133	131	85
216.06	1,687	27	12	37	11	30	35	61	136	512	390	436
216.07	713	5	19	38	37	5	37	23	64	169	138	178
217.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
220	465	19	12	28	14	19	37	43	48	195	41	9
CITY OF AMARILLO	45,968	3,016	2,545	2,839	3,345	3,389	3,094	2,973	5,768	9,995	4,605	4,399
POTTER COUNTY	27,746	2,441	2,070	2,223	2,429	2,396	2,165	1,913	3,612	4,821	1,893	1,783
RANDALL COUNTY	28,756	1,052	818	1,136	1,600	1,785	1,669	1,742	3,544	7,700	4,030	3,680
AMARILLO MSA	56,502	3,493	2,888	3,359	4,029	4,181	3,834	3,655	7,156	12,521	5,923	5,463

HOUSEHOLD INCOME (CENSUS 2000)

APPENDIX E

CENSUS TRACTS	TOTAL HOUSE HOLDS	UNDER \$10,000	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$19,999	\$20,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$29,999	\$30,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$49,999	\$50,000-\$74,999	\$75,000-\$99,999	\$100,000 OR MORE
101	1,179	259	156	76	96	100	70	44	80	172	51	75
102	777	28	30	30	37	12	69	21	95	201	86	168
103	674	131	149	35	89	58	28	73	31	80	0	0
104	1,588	81	100	152	87	97	92	96	143	259	243	238
106	570	103	97	60	63	33	65	11	60	65	13	0
107	1,146	112	103	114	161	97	88	119	153	152	9	38
110	691	102	61	57	79	54	82	63	47	74	27	45
111	751	128	102	99	64	60	63	25	121	60	12	17
115	1,891	253	134	152	231	192	117	52	216	331	69	144
116	1,782	190	179	177	176	154	157	94	242	288	60	65
117	1,664	293	118	187	125	164	138	129	220	212	48	30
118	1,527	160	148	79	128	154	123	144	164	300	95	32
119	1,367	252	162	141	159	150	115	90	74	153	41	30
120	700	194	87	106	63	67	34	82	33	17	6	11
122	1,206	273	149	116	105	155	87	62	67	145	20	27
126	840	102	117	64	114	101	40	49	108	86	36	23
128	1,601	359	207	250	146	163	88	62	156	134	18	18
130	639	179	108	79	57	66	46	0	56	26	0	22
132	759	60	92	34	43	41	66	43	66	134	72	108
133	1,104	46	6	32	50	0	48	21	111	246	305	239
134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
139	1,039	114	81	118	135	66	74	50	143	145	44	69
141	775	75	59	56	100	47	122	57	114	111	34	0
144	644	59	15	41	37	76	32	31	152	145	43	13
145	1,863	281	158	144	188	210	125	199	284	201	51	22
146	207	54	26	26	32	10	11	6	0	24	11	7
147	2,198	354	167	257	263	159	229	67	308	207	79	108
148	869	266	115	81	114	36	58	50	42	82	25	0
149	1,702	225	179	139	145	179	76	61	198	322	94	84
150	2,030	329	219	213	202	189	179	138	217	203	67	74
151	808	22	61	64	87	49	46	78	114	196	73	18
152	1,104	122	146	76	65	87	94	96	168	136	80	34
153	1,862	333	298	191	230	148	141	147	163	130	33	48
201	947	45	27	25	44	56	98	77	87	215	159	114
202	1,053	44	58	71	100	106	85	87	152	181	89	80
203	967	66	117	103	100	82	70	36	124	172	47	50
204	828	41	40	9	82	39	46	44	88	175	79	185
205	1,570	167	187	141	219	138	119	135	138	223	50	53
206	1,348	90	85	41	74	92	140	102	204	417	60	43
208	2,112	93	103	145	187	163	245	173	341	528	109	25
209	1,171	84	165	85	56	136	110	111	141	216	43	24
210	891	28	23	21	25	71	83	71	114	362	58	35
211	3,320	399	315	218	303	275	263	202	426	529	209	181
212	2,225	239	62	99	176	187	159	127	195	553	229	199
213	2,356	220	161	241	171	144	71	191	164	606	308	79
215	1,783	35	58	31	66	106	39	96	218	567	390	177
216.02	2,483	224	106	78	142	177	143	112	309	467	281	444
216.03	817	6	23	35	30	14	30	46	113	242	170	108
216.04	2,066	103	94	116	62	66	72	177	170	302	307	597
216.05	716	81	40	39	65	33	16	14	37	158	148	85
216.06	1,959	65	25	47	53	54	49	80	180	553	390	463
216.07	832	17	19	38	36	20	49	61	79	184	138	191
217.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
220	558	32	13	48	35	33	31	48	49	200	60	9
CITY OF AMARILLO	67,559	7,618	5,550	5,077	5,697	5,166	4,721	4,250	7,475	11,887	5,169	4,949
POTTER COUNTY	40,756	5,797	3,938	3,713	3,851	3,385	3,071	2,471	4,527	5,741	2,189	2,073
RANDALL COUNTY	41,242	3,310	2,289	2,303	2,950	2,919	2,653	2,710	4,562	9,116	4,363	4,067
AMARILLO MSA	81,998	9,107	6,227	6,016	6,801	6,304	5,724	5,181	9,089	14,857	6,552	6,140

COMPARISON OF LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES FROM 1990 TO 2000

APPENDIX F

DEFINITIONS: Low and Moderate Income is 80% or less of the median family income for the Amarillo MSA.

	1990	2000
AMARILLO MSA MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME	\$30,688	\$43,514
LOW AND MODERATE FAMILY INCOME	\$24,550	\$34,811
LOW FAMILY INCOME	\$15,344	\$21,757

CENSUS TRACTS	TOTAL NUMBER OF FAMILIES		LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES			PERCENTAGE OF LOW/MOD INCOME FAMILIES			LOW INCOME FAMILIES			PERCENTAGE OF LOW INCOME FAMILIES		
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000		
101	479	508	88	168	18%	33%	36	116	8%	23%				
102	619	600	100	101	16%	17%	33	40	5%	7%				
103	361	303	260	194	72%	64%	181	169	50%	56%				
104	891	969	213	235	24%	24%	137	166	15%	17%				
105	527	---	229	---	43%	---	139	---	26%	---				
106	381	470	286	345	75%	73%	218	257	57%	55%				
107	917	847	382	414	42%	49%	164	261	18%	31%				
108	260	---	135	---	52%	---	100	---	38%	---				
109	1,164	---	735	---	63%	---	428	---	37%	---				
110	605	523	372	295	61%	56%	190	173	31%	33%				
111	486	551	374	342	77%	62%	257	232	53%	42%				
112	163	---	114	---	70%	---	67	---	41%	---				
113	21	---	9	---	43%	---	9	---	43%	---				
114	359	---	185	---	52%	---	110	---	31%	---				
115	1,001	1,076	427	416	43%	39%	242	220	24%	20%				
116	1,130	1,119	450	522	40%	47%	203	331	18%	30%				
117	862	853	421	435	49%	51%	263	282	31%	33%				
118	1,045	970	375	352	36%	36%	122	172	12%	18%				
119	771	827	494	522	64%	63%	280	326	36%	39%				
120	360	348	269	268	75%	77%	228	210	63%	60%				
121	114	---	100	---	88%	---	71	---	62%	---				
122	760	902	554	632	73%	70%	345	440	45%	49%				
123	133	---	79	---	59%	---	45	---	34%	---				
125	1,133	---	461	---	41%	---	197	---	17%	---				
126	590	662	408	388	69%	59%	249	300	42%	45%				
*127	1,254	---	775	---	62%	---	394	---	31%	---				
128	---	1,124	---	821	---	73%	---	618	---	55%				
*128.97	38	---	22	---	58%	---	5	---	13%	---				
*128.98	1,003	---	607	---	61%	---	405	---	40%	---				
129	464	---	368	---	79%	---	269	N	58%	---				
130	426	394	316	299	74%	76%	208	233	49%	59%				
131	1,084	---	770	---	71%	---	507	---	47%	---				
132	372	445	113	117	30%	26%	52	62	14%	14%				
133	499	902	131	104	26%	12%	62	60	12%	7%				
134	20	0	14	0	70%	0%	14	0	70%	0%				
135	302	---	81	---	27%	---	43	---	14%	---				
136	304	---	95	---	31%	---	41	---	13%	---				
137	526	---	228	---	43%	---	152	---	29%	---				
138	272	---	145	---	53%	---	72	---	26%	---				
139	850	845	378	454	44%	54%	216	348	25%	41%				
140	191	---	121	---	63%	---	101	---	53%	---				
141	636	609	346	328	54%	54%	149	219	23%	36%				
*144	471	530	231	207	49%	39%	135	111	29%	21%				
145	---	1,448	---	796	---	55%	---	522	---	36%				
146	---	92	---	75	---	82%	---	59	---	64%				
147	---	1,101	---	663	---	60%	---	459	---	42%				
148	---	553	---	385	---	70%	---	300	---	54%				
149	---	1,428	---	750	---	53%	---	532	---	37%				
150	---	1,528	---	932	---	61%	---	632	---	41%				
151	---	635	---	187	---	29%	---	108	---	17%				
152	---	780	---	335	---	43%	---	185	---	24%				
153	---	1,204	---	814	---	68%	---	582	---	48%				
201	723	654	106	137	15%	21%	46	43	6%	7%				
202	575	636	137	230	24%	36%	39	111	7%	17%				
203	516	546	230	217	45%	40%	109	147	21%	27%				
204	596	582	127	124	21%	21%	64	73	11%	13%				
205	935	1,014	580	556	62%	55%	288	370	31%	36%				
206	1,159	1,099	355	323	31%	29%	137	157	12%	14%				
208	1,531	1,579	607	582	40%	37%	265	309	17%	20%				
209	749	759	296	339	40%	45%	105	197	14%	26%				
210	728	702	170	141	23%	20%	83	38	11%	5%				
211	1,546	1,628	474	561	31%	34%	184	321	12%	20%				
212	1,597	1,540	322	401	20%	26%	128	188	8%	12%				
213	1,637	1,565	347	397	21%	25%	209	301	13%	19%				
*215	1,343	1,479	153	191	11%	13%	63	82	5%	6%				
216.02	1,574	1,596	259	325	16%	20%	103	178	7%	11%				
*216.03	690	696	62	94	9%	14%	28	43	4%	6%				
*216.04	995	1,386	144	170	14%	12%	53	111	5%	8%				
*216.05	364	496	78	122	21%	25%	45	92	12%	19%				
*216.06	1,232	1,687	98	152	8%	9%	40	87	3%	5%				
*216.07	89	713	43	141	48%	20%	21	99	24%	14%				
*217	0	---	0	---	0%	---	0	---	0%	---				
217.01	---	0	---	0	---	0%	---	0	---	0%				
220	---	465	---	129	---	28%	---	73	---	16%				
*220.97	79	---	6	---	8%	---	0	---	0%	---				
*220.98	234	---	73	---	31%	---	14	---	6%	---				
CITY OF AMARILLO	42,736	45,968	16,928	18,228	40%	40%	9,163	11,745	21%	26%				

* See Appendix A
 --- Census tract suppressed

PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY RACE				APPENDIX G
RACE	CITY OF AMARILLO	AMARILLO MSA	POTTER COUNTY	RANDALL COUNTY
WHITE	15,025	18,402	11,501	6,901
BLACK	3,351	3,377	3,114	263
AMERICAN INDIAN, ESKIMO OR ALEUT	193	215	145	70
ASIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER	480	621	422	199
OTHER RACE	5,848	6,124	5,296	828
TOTAL	24,897	28,739	20,478	8,261
HISPANIC ORIGIN *	8,593	9,071	7,762	1,309

** Hispanic Origin is considered an ethnic characteristic rather than a race and therefore is not included in the total number.*

PERCENTAGE OF AGE GROUP BELOW POVERTY LEVEL **APPENDIX H**

AGE	CITY OF AMARILLO	AMARILLO MSA	POTTER COUNTY	RANDALL COUNTY
UNDER 65 YEARS	15%	14%	19%	8%
65-74 YEARS	1%	9%	12%	6%
75 YEARS AND OVER	9%	9%	11%	7%

VEHICLES AVAILABLE BY CENSUS TRACT

APPENDIX I

CENSUS TRACT	NONE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	FIVE OR MORE
101	190	562	329	61	11	0
102	18	171	476	76	36	19
103	133	439	164	51	0	0
104	53	591	614	157	55	12
106	36	280	205	52	30	0
107	64	480	459	90	14	20
110	32	281	274	81	38	0
111	95	273	288	76	9	0
115	159	733	786	188	38	8
116	115	829	647	145	31	7
117	160	820	574	74	23	13
118	85	567	655	166	37	16
119	168	598	470	92	18	0
120	139	402	144	31	0	0
122	201	463	469	58	14	4
126	79	406	289	56	18	0
128	177	721	540	94	48	22
130	133	309	172	27	0	0
132	52	310	269	101	0	0
133	22	263	639	157	37	7
134	0	0	0	0	0	0
139	65	368	381	193	10	27
141	53	272	362	69	9	19
144	47	188	340	69	11	0
145	143	663	771	190	79	15
146	55	92	29	11	0	0
147	265	1,141	590	144	32	26
148	155	446	175	38	37	0
149	70	580	698	202	110	50
150	202	878	686	189	63	22
151	11	191	395	164	14	24
152	70	338	463	186	27	30
153	236	812	614	126	55	15
201	0	340	448	84	33	13
202	69	464	429	76	35	0
203	56	454	383	85	13	7
204	8	250	414	119	26	0
205	61	673	621	187	28	0
206	13	409	670	229	29	0
208	80	658	1,059	247	49	15
209	44	549	420	80	42	11
210	21	276	402	189	28	10
211	177	1,743	1,081	227	48	32
212	125	778	869	323	104	18
213	143	795	1,139	229	44	15
215	13	328	928	387	114	25
216.02	112	775	1,102	359	112	8
216.03	6	143	471	137	25	11
216.04	65	674	909	320	86	16
216.05	14	243	358	93	12	6
216.06	7	347	1,133	366	89	34
216.07	0	218	450	142	19	0
217.01	0	0	0	0	0	0
220	10	165	306	73	7	7
CITY OF AMARILLO	4,507	25,749	27,559	7,366	1,847	584
POTTER COUNTY	3,567	16,125	15,515	4,046	1,069	438
RANDALL COUNTY	1,382	13,648	18,572	5,763	1,465	410
AMARILLO MSA	4,949	29,773	34,087	9,809	2,534	848

YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED*
BY CENSUS TRACT

APPENDIX J

CENSUS TRACT	LESS THAN 9TH GRADE	GRADE, NO DIPLOMA	(INCLUDES EQUIVALENCY)	SOME COLLEGE NO DEGREE	ASSOCIATE DEGREE	BACHELOR'S DEGREE	GRADUATE OR PROFESSIONAL DEGREE
101	60	105	432	381	94	207	190
102	13	98	238	337	100	367	196
103	35	121	189	258	23	57	27
104	62	107	463	666	112	555	413
106	218	292	269	97	21	11	13
107	171	295	775	440	57	131	22
110	337	411	309	153	14	20	7
111	428	322	302	173	12	60	14
115	55	241	601	939	272	464	158
116	94	181	606	949	175	330	157
117	171	278	574	695	187	266	167
118	132	294	695	748	193	265	170
119	176	267	496	639	123	197	98
120	115	258	423	143	41	13	12
122	537	556	445	325	43	22	15
126	226	492	392	262	18	41	61
128	674	662	672	352	63	33	32
130	89	286	358	168	29	58	7
132	64	359	325	350	68	225	182
133	38	124	295	524	178	607	354
134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
139	147	412	634	470	107	102	15
141	203	279	420	278	63	91	6
144	39	63	235	393	137	96	71
145	560	637	1,071	506	107	89	48
146	66	94	225	64	24	8	14
147	248	575	798	867	175	419	179
148	263	328	343	275	25	50	39
149	713	754	880	494	164	152	24
150	608	643	1,199	593	115	73	66
151	40	100	574	461	123	110	43
152	174	380	561	511	116	82	62
153	276	701	965	575	92	52	40
201	27	91	286	469	156	435	147
202	42	73	383	547	99	300	132
203	32	101	368	440	104	191	84
204	21	73	228	346	92	416	228
205	179	344	710	709	125	154	70
206	133	274	855	661	230	252	41
208	135	470	1,006	1,160	278	279	99
209	28	169	571	611	176	119	45
210	24	114	462	498	126	247	79
211	86	311	1,105	1,488	285	784	285
212	58	273	867	1,184	347	607	234
213	112	381	882	1,135	297	692	252
215	47	177	632	1,144	376	628	292
216.02	13	195	497	921	218	1,184	692
216.03	18	69	253	506	148	372	170
216.04	41	87	423	894	252	954	734
216.05	5	35	214	253	143	322	141
216.06	22	93	532	1,059	328	1,068	440
216.07	29	61	236	357	114	432	239
217.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
220	11	125	304	292	68	102	17
AMARILLO CITY	8,095	14,231	27,578	28,760	7,033	14,791	7,323
POTTER COUNTY	7,531	12,551	20,323	16,140	3,497	6,046	3,339
RANDALL COUNTY	1,629	5,248	15,336	19,611	4,833	12,841	6,130
AMARILLO MSA	9,160	17,799	35,659	35,751	8,330	18,887	9,469

*Persons 25 and older

SCHOOL ENROLLMENT BY CENSUS TRACT

APPENDIX K

CENSUS TRACTS	ELEMENTARY			NOT IN SCHOOL
	PREPRIMARY SCHOOL	OR HIGH SCHOOL	COLLEGE	
101	126	165	241	1,551
102	127	232	90	1,394
103	113	31	186	919
104	327	390	249	2,431
106	374	298	73	1,195
107	346	395	176	1,955
110	211	288	119	1,469
111	241	323	112	1,443
115	407	502	263	2,948
116	305	360	275	2,716
117	295	210	397	2,768
118	289	392	250	2,556
119	323	334	184	2,150
120	284	163	44	1,156
122	509	503	80	2,358
126	351	365	107	1,678
128	554	650	99	2,923
130	219	205	44	1,109
132	73	114	118	1,504
133	231	282	129	2,166
134	0	0	0	0
139	531	495	101	2,068
141	368	335	86	1,486
144	331	358	218	1,048
145	641	801	179	3,666
146	21	32	23	594
147	405	476	296	3,615
148	279	332	94	1,534
149	924	907	287	3,472
150	822	793	219	3,880
151	201	313	55	1,518
152	234	296	141	2,048
153	504	583	140	3,328
201	162	276	78	1,610
202	166	284	119	1,681
203	141	120	161	1,505
204	172	228	57	1,464
205	375	458	272	2,533
206	394	512	204	2,557
208	632	722	277	3,845
209	363	317	223	1,839
210	213	289	155	1,591
211	501	390	754	4,634
212	403	541	342	3,688
213	462	555	291	4,022
215	426	776	299	3,294
216.02	396	653	547	3,913
216.03	237	258	72	1,529
216.04	394	520	291	3,477
216.05	214	196	145	1,117
216.06	509	893	362	3,568
216.07	313	330	191	1,525
217.01	0	0	0	0
220	177	266	77	1,029
CITY OF AMARILLO	17,616	20,507	9,992	117,067
POTTER COUNTY	11,894	13,342	5,877	76,891
RANDALL COUNTY	9,498	12,234	9,190	69,068
AMARILLO MSA	21,392	25,576	15,067	145,959

NUMBER OF PERSONS UNEMPLOYED IN 1999

APPENDIX L

CENSUS TRACT	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
101	45	26	19
102	29	15	14
103	48	10	38
104	45	9	36
106	71	24	47
107	87	43	44
110	55	29	26
111	121	82	39
115	53	39	14
116	76	58	18
117	160	80	80
118	50	34	16
119	88	35	53
120	90	42	48
122	190	107	83
126	114	38	76
128	213	123	90
130	105	26	79
132	23	16	7
133	44	26	18
134	0	0	0
139	240	144	96
141	122	73	49
144	95	36	59
145	81	24	57
146	226	152	74
147	211	130	81
148	117	73	44
149	165	86	79
150	211	105	106
151	39	29	10
152	56	31	25
153	149	93	56
201	12	0	12
202	26	6	20
203	23	6	17
204	17	0	17
205	157	107	50
206	56	31	25
208	106	69	37
209	60	34	26
210	63	29	34
211	132	77	55
212	118	59	59
213	167	86	81
215	78	33	45
216.02	96	53	43
216.03	19	6	13
216.04	50	15	35
216.05	27	6	21
216.06	56	29	27
216.07	50	39	11
217.01	0	0	0
220	13	7	6

CITY OF AMARILLO	4,745	2,530	2,215
POTTER COUNTY	3,546	1,903	1,643
RANDALL COUNTY	2,413	1,242	1,171
AMARILLO MSA	5,959	3,145	2,814

*Persons 16 years and older

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2000

APPENDIX M

GEOGRAPHY	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
CITY OF AMARILLO			
PERSONS OVER 16 YRS	61,353	69,239	130,592
EMPLOYED	45,620	40,297	85,917
UNEMPLOYED	2,530	2,215	4,745
NOT IN LABOR FORCE	15,733	28,942	44,675
POTTER COUNTY			
PERSONS OVER 16 YRS	42,439	42,762	85,201
EMPLOYED	27,043	23,274	50,317
UNEMPLOYED	1,903	1,643	3,546
NOT IN LABOR FORCE	15,396	19,488	34,884
RANDALL COUNTY			
PERSONS OVER 16 YEARS	38,371	42,137	80,508
EMPLOYED	30,379	26,784	57,163
UNEMPLOYED	1,242	1,171	2,413
NOT IN LABOR FORCE	7,992	15,353	23,345
AMARILLO MSA			
PERSONS OVER 16 YEARS	80,810	84,899	165,709
EMPLOYED	57,422	50,058	107,480
UNEMPLOYED	3,145	2,814	5,959
NOT IN LABOR FORCE	23,388	34,841	58,229

NUMBER OF WORKERS IN FAMILY

APPENDIX N

CENSUS TRACT	NO WORKER	ONE WORKER	TWO WORKERS	THREE OR MORE WORKERS
101	119	179	175	35
102	112	209	235	44
103	25	108	160	10
104	96	258	520	95
106	45	168	213	44
107	115	365	292	75
110	55	188	162	118
111	80	242	155	74
115	117	305	560	94
116	125	426	497	71
117	46	318	469	20
118	134	252	463	121
119	68	343	352	64
120	61	167	93	27
122	138	326	384	54
126	94	254	230	84
128	124	577	350	73
130	81	196	93	24
132	71	158	195	21
133	96	270	464	72
134	0	0	0	0
139	115	312	333	85
141	82	171	267	89
143	24	157	299	50
144	91	595	612	150
145	7	56	29	0
146	143	432	439	87
147	87	269	157	40
148	97	515	608	208
149	206	643	505	174
150	84	131	351	69
151	109	209	381	81
152	117	574	393	120
153	124	136	314	80
201	85	187	293	71
202	63	158	258	67
203	77	228	239	38
204	116	285	524	89
205	98	305	530	166
206	152	488	765	174
208	69	231	410	49
209	67	180	341	114
210	225	495	832	76
211	186	457	634	263
212	163	391	857	154
213	109	293	820	257
215	151	536	761	148
216.02	88	161	339	108
216.03	166	370	705	145
216.04	41	119	264	72
216.05	101	323	962	301
216.06	70	200	389	54
216.07	0	0	0	0
217.01	13	150	257	45
CITY OF AMARILLO	5,128	15,066	20,930	4,844
POTTER COUNTY	3,219	10,023	11,780	2,724
RANDALL COUNTY	2,807	7,802	14,689	3,458
AMARILLO MSA	6,026	17,825	26,469	6,182

2000 LABOR FORCE BY GENDER*
BY CENSUS TRACT

APPENDIX O

CENSUS TRACT	MALE			FEMALE	
	TOTAL	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
101	1,002	490	49%	512	51%
102	929	536	58%	393	42%
103	827	396	48%	431	52%
104	1,926	959	50%	967	50%
106	806	423	52%	383	48%
107	1,337	653	49%	684	51%
110	935	543	58%	392	42%
111	986	605	61%	381	39%
115	2,343	1,190	51%	1,153	49%
116	2,071	1,021	49%	1,050	51%
117	2,130	1,089	51%	1,041	49%
118	1,793	922	51%	871	49%
119	1,533	845	55%	688	45%
120	765	453	59%	312	41%
122	1,350	756	56%	594	44%
126	970	531	55%	439	45%
128	1,810	1,066	59%	744	41%
130	652	266	41%	386	59%
132	698	409	59%	289	41%
133	1,552	864	56%	688	44%
134	0	0	0%	0	0%
139	1,428	678	47%	750	53%
141	1,067	555	52%	512	48%
144	1,097	627	57%	470	43%
145	2,439	1,324	54%	1,115	46%
146	483	341	71%	142	29%
147	2,345	1,256	54%	1,089	46%
148	841	427	51%	414	49%
149	2,495	1,428	57%	1,067	43%
150	2,495	1,340	54%	1,155	46%
151	1,139	614	54%	525	46%
152	1,347	746	55%	601	45%
153	2,227	1,177	53%	1,050	47%
201	1,115	565	51%	550	49%
202	1,287	613	48%	674	52%
203	1,225	593	48%	632	52%
204	903	543	60%	360	40%
205	2,108	1,129	54%	979	46%
206	1,897	980	52%	917	48%
208	2,916	1,545	53%	1,371	47%
209	1,473	751	51%	722	49%
210	1,364	722	53%	642	47%
211	3,735	1,903	51%	1,832	49%
212	2,882	1,562	54%	1,320	46%
213	3,126	1,598	51%	1,528	49%
215	2,918	1,466	50%	1,452	50%
216.02	3,012	1,590	53%	1,422	47%
216.03	1,227	640	52%	587	48%
216.04	2,644	1,489	56%	1,155	44%
216.05	996	525	53%	471	47%
216.06	3,191	1,741	55%	1,450	45%
216.07	1,197	691	58%	506	42%
217.01	0	0	0%	0	0%
220	883	444	50%	439	50%
CITY OF AMARILLO	85,917	45,620	53%	40,297	47%
POTTER COUNTY	50,317	27,043	54%	23,274	46%
RANDALL COUNTY	57,163	30,379	53%	26,784	47%
AMARILLO MSA	107,480	57,422	53%	50,058	47%

*Persons 16 years and over

2000 FEMALES* IN LABOR FORCE WITH OWN CHILDREN

APPENDIX P

CENSUS TRACT	TOTAL	FEMALES WITH CHILDREN UNDER 6 YEARS	FEMALES WITH CHILDREN 6-17 YEARS	FEMALES WITH CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS
101	131	23	85	23
102	135	29	98	8
103	133	56	46	31
104	334	97	195	42
106	213	46	102	65
107	339	49	186	104
110	178	51	102	25
111	157	22	63	72
115	339	128	137	74
116	336	96	170	70
117	322	165	135	22
118	344	70	211	63
119	290	105	166	19
120	110	35	31	44
122	295	76	131	88
126	218	48	128	42
128	348	86	148	114
130	118	25	51	42
132	69	27	35	7
133	247	61	163	23
134	0	0	0	0
139	344	29	174	141
141	225	60	124	41
144	212	39	123	50
145	465	115	243	107
146	5	0	0	5
147	401	89	225	87
148	204	43	126	35
149	599	150	254	195
150	534	95	300	139
151	221	9	167	45
152	221	77	110	34
153	407	108	213	86
201	216	18	177	21
202	206	59	131	16
203	208	104	75	29
204	167	69	89	9
205	482	162	270	50
206	442	78	294	70
208	632	200	321	111
209	293	77	158	58
210	295	67	189	39
211	550	241	219	90
212	510	73	376	61
213	628	111	423	94
215	639	101	467	71
216.02	443	67	336	40
216.03	268	62	184	22
216.04	316	36	215	65
216.05	215	47	129	39
216.06	678	95	552	31
216.07	231	85	129	17
217.01	0	0	0	0
220	234	72	125	37
CITY OF AMARILLO	16,147	3,933	9,301	2,913
POTTER COUNTY	9,281	2,252	4,937	2,092
RANDALL COUNTY	10,587	2,475	6,541	1,571
AMARILLO MSA	19,868	4,727	11,478	3,663

*Persons 16 years and over

**CITY OF AMARILLO
EMPLOYMENT BY ECONOMIC SECTOR*, 1980 - 2000**

APPENDIX Q

ECONOMIC SECTOR	1980		1990		2000	
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, FISHERIES, AND MINING	1,880	3%	1,820	2%	788	1%
CONSTRUCTION	5,046	7%	4,626	6%	5,956	7%
MANUFACTURING	11,367	16%	8,826	12%	8,333	10%
TRANSPORTATION	4,534	6%	3,531	5%	3,172	4%
COMMUNICATION, & OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES	2,938	4%	2,510	3%	1,329	2%
WHOLESALE TRADE	5,826	8%	4,802	7%	3,594	4%
RETAIL TRADE	13,531	19%	14,480	20%	11,678	14%
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE	4,200	6%	4,634	6%	5,445	7%
BUSINESS AND REPAIR SERVICES	3,263	5%	3,600	5%	NA	NA
PERSONAL, ENTERTAINMENT, AND RECREATION SERVICES	3,695	5%	3,790	5%	6,688	8%
HEALTH SERVICES	4,971	7%	7,310	10%	10,885	13%
EDUCATIONAL SERVICES	4,632	6%	5,196	7%	6,247	8%
OTHER PROFESSIONAL & RELATED SERVICES	3,099	4%	4,793	7%	5,306	7%
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	3,004	4%	3,188	4%	4,131	5%
PROFESSIONAL, SCIENTIFIC MANAGEMENT, ADMINISTRATIVE AND WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES	NA	NA	NA	NA	5,289	7%
INFORMATION	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,239	3%
TOTAL	71,986		73,106		81,080	

*EMPLOYED PERSONS 16 YEARS OF AGE AND OVER

MOBILITY AND SELF-CARE LIMITATION STATUS

APPENDIX R

CENSUS TRACT	MOBILITY LIMITATION ONLY		SELF-CARE LIMITATION ONLY	
	16-64 YRS	65+ YRS	16-64 YRS	65+ YRS
101	52	96	19	60
102	37	38	19	13
103	60	0	23	0
104	61	83	16	32
106	131	20	40	9
107	169	120	42	68
110	89	46	6	31
111	154	111	33	38
115	127	101	50	56
116	113	122	63	97
117	202	51	69	21
118	123	85	38	49
119	104	81	62	83
120	109	101	55	43
122	199	63	78	21
126	89	81	27	28
128	289	144	66	67
130	144	98	37	54
132	8	69	8	62
133	24	64	20	6
134	0	0	0	0
139	144	33	41	24
141	102	26	18	26
144	117	4	16	0
145	266	102	67	37
146	90	0	8	0
147	268	179	72	77
148	134	96	66	38
149	380	61	73	12
150	423	204	82	80
151	68	49	18	26
152	118	93	64	79
153	196	74	88	68
201	6	88	7	41
202	14	52	0	32
203	18	53	6	28
204	50	69	9	24
205	83	76	37	54
206	110	85	42	32
208	236	76	65	35
209	99	64	13	36
210	98	29	13	0
211	98	179	44	94
212	63	95	26	65
213	194	155	55	126
215	174	78	58	29
216.02	50	118	43	48
216.03	6	68	6	32
216.04	89	57	27	51
216.05	15	6	0	6
216.06	79	61	20	12
216.07	55	39	0	29
217.01	0	0	0	0
220	18	9	0	0
CITY OF AMARILLO	6,145	3,952	1,855	2,079
POTTER COUNTY	4,972	2,614	1,477	1,358
RANDALL COUNTY	2,324	1,943	716	1,042
AMARILLO MSA	7,296	4,557	2,193	2,400

YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION OF 2000 HOUSING UNITS**APPENDIX S**

YEAR	HOUSING UNITS	VACANT	OWNER OCCUPIED	RENTER OCCUPIED
1999 TO MARCH 2000	746	90	565	91
1995 TO 1998	2,972	150	2,308	514
1990 TO 1994	2,132	93	1,340	699
1980 TO 1989	10,545	470	5,343	4,732
1870 TO 1979	13999	985	7,263	5,751
1960 TO 1969	12729	578	8,011	4,140
1950 TO 1959	16047	1,049	10,388	4,610
1940 TO 1949	7179	746	3,889	2,544
1939 OR EARLIER	5983	559	3,658	1,766
TOTAL	72,332	4,720	42,765	24,847

YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION BY CENSUS TRACT, 2000

APPENDIX T

CENSUS TRACT	1999 TO 2000 March	1995-1998	1990-1994	1980-1989	1970-1979	1960- 1969	1950- 1959	1940- 1949	1939 OR EARLIER
101	0	14	28	247	612	281	29	0	17
102	6	7	0	20	62	317	367	25	7
103	0	0	35	201	424	185	35	13	0
104	0	4	6	68	141	220	504	237	409
106	0	20	13	110	151	128	121	54	56
107	0	6	19	13	145	179	710	77	5
110	0	13	13	56	74	132	161	241	71
111	6	8	0	75	130	142	161	142	197
115	0	0	0	53	205	144	502	647	515
116	0	8	7	71	409	270	738	326	85
117	0	14	84	535	710	302	178	6	6
118	5	0	14	7	165	180	661	295	273
119	0	26	21	47	51	96	289	332	640
120	5	11	7	63	75	76	162	171	270
122	0	12	45	43	114	157	393	371	215
126	0	0	0	39	43	162	355	289	27
128	10	47	0	237	272	273	543	217	178
130	6	0	0	57	47	184	274	77	108
132	8	169	0	39	50	102	313	73	18
133	86	301	282	379	67	22	6	0	14
134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
139	15	21	23	75	92	352	434	14	50
141	27	56	63	185	166	123	165	60	0
144	7	17	6	39	85	93	367	72	10
145	25	92	29	114	310	402	715	262	103
146	0	0	0	0	16	51	46	39	79
147	0	6	14	166	154	289	395	483	1,006
148	7	63	19	71	106	155	234	144	246
149	7	50	14	394	460	439	333	103	48
150	0	5	35	238	265	528	823	233	71
151	0	140	61	116	182	239	103	36	32
152	20	39	17	118	93	179	313	217	201
153	6	17	7	79	137	91	722	546	452
201	0	0	7	19	174	695	30	6	0
202	0	0	25	21	231	371	410	36	33
203	8	34	19	90	308	195	356	39	11
204	5	29	14	68	38	137	423	103	42
205	10	0	0	45	70	179	579	534	285
206	0	22	4	73	356	612	252	32	27
208	15	93	10	254	312	468	817	169	37
209	0	12	7	27	109	151	481	311	88
210	6	10	18	127	462	243	74	0	4
211	0	43	77	1,035	603	637	1,011	72	0
212	0	20	16	79	1,020	946	168	11	6
213	11	0	116	427	897	833	112	21	14
215	7	120	119	527	710	242	78	18	7
216.02	12	23	51	649	1,314	451	59	8	8
216.03	0	17	20	297	432	24	0	7	0
216.04	8	189	437	1,246	272	0	19	0	0
216.05	93	163	59	413	73	0	0	0	5
216.06	71	345	202	888	461	25	26	0	0
216.07	202	479	63	101	48	0	0	5	0
217.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
220	52	207	6	204	96	27	0	5	7
CITY OF AMARILLO	746	2,972	2,132	10,545	13,999	12,729	16,047	7,179	5,983
POTTER COUNTY	357	1,570	1,123	4,698	6,728	7,214	11,490	5,876	5,542
RANDALL COUNTY	960	3,442	2,012	9,205	10,787	7,870	5,789	1,946	1,250
AMARILLO MSA	1,317	5,012	3,135	13,903	17,515	15,084	17,279	7,822	6,792

UNITS IN STRUCTURE BY CENSUS TRACT

APPENDIX U

CENSUS TRACT	TOTAL UNITS	1 UNIT, DETACHED	1 UNIT, ATTACHED	2 UNITS (DUPLEX)	3 OR 4 UNITS	5 TO 10 UNITS	10 TO 19 UNITS	20 TO 49 UNITS	50 OR MORE UNITS	MOBILE HOME OR TRAILER	OTHER
101	1,228	320	137	16	85	113	66	177	314	0	0
102	811	778	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
103	893	9	0	18	59	169	127	265	235	11	0
104	1,589	1,277	50	33	8	15	57	72	72	5	0
106	653	369	11	8	20	7	0	0	0	238	0
107	1,154	1,136	12	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
110	761	643	4	21	12	12	11	4	16	38	0
111	861	602	26	16	22	17	83	21	20	54	0
115	2,066	1,569	26	246	94	15	42	74	0	0	0
116	1,914	1,171	93	192	67	33	181	47	116	14	0
117	1,835	465	35	181	101	203	295	106	449	0	0
118	1,600	1,417	54	30	14	24	15	21	7	18	0
119	1,502	1,205	66	141	27	6	24	29	0	4	0
120	840	451	7	84	14	22	23	33	90	116	0
122	1,350	1,107	91	44	58	14	22	0	6	8	0
126	915	843	21	44	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
128	1,777	1,077	17	51	65	60	16	40	81	364	6
130	753	632	20	56	9	18	0	4	0	14	0
132	772	472	63	49	34	40	4	49	61	0	0
133	1,157	938	32	22	16	41	31	7	62	8	0
134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
139	1,076	1,007	18	5	9	6	0	0	0	31	0
141	845	436	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	401	0
144	696	275	316	18	0	0	0	0	0	83	4
145	2,052	1,526	74	128	24	0	8	35	47	175	35
146	231	53	6	53	34	56	14	0	7	8	0
147	2,513	1,356	95	158	180	122	164	153	249	36	0
148	1,045	727	43	96	21	8	9	79	7	46	9
149	1,848	1,299	41	55	82	32	11	9	4	315	0
150	2,198	1,923	38	17	30	55	13	56	30	36	0
151	909	584	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	309	0
152	1,197	1,014	20	16	13	0	0	0	0	134	0
153	2,057	1,699	51	192	37	18	6	17	0	30	7
201	931	809	6	7	0	0	52	13	44	0	0
202	1,127	712	28	0	0	15	8	63	301	0	0
203	1,060	424	273	124	72	63	7	41	56	0	0
204	859	812	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
205	1,702	1,524	20	111	6	15	6	0	4	16	0
206	1,378	1,354	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	17	0
208	2,175	2,087	25	2	0	20	0	0	4	37	0
209	1,186	1,056	33	15	64	0	11	0	0	7	0
210	944	767	86	19	0	0	8	0	50	14	0
211	3,478	1,511	97	38	151	376	251	216	828	10	0
212	2,266	1,704	78	47	38	67	190	53	80	9	0
213	2,431	1,590	62	31	34	119	68	112	404	11	0
215	1,828	1,657	75	6	8	0	0	0	0	82	0
216.02	2,575	1,391	76	0	110	287	122	220	361	8	0
216.03	797	797	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
216.04	2,171	1,252	181	9	50	320	96	38	225	0	0
216.05	806	608	63	6	0	22	47	0	54	6	0
216.06	2,018	1,705	88	29	8	25	42	8	95	18	0
216.07	898	629	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	269	0
217.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
220	604	326	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	278	0
CITY OF AMARILLO	72,332	51,095	2,734	2,434	1,696	2,435	2,130	2,062	4,396	3,289	61
POTTER COUNTY	44,598	30,491	1,537	2,004	1,152	1,113	1,222	1,298	1,890	3,743	148
RANDALL COUNTY	43,261	29,487	1,518	676	745	1,474	1,104	1,042	2,968	4,199	48
AMARILLO MSA	87,859	59,978	3,055	2,680	1,897	2,587	2,326	2,340	4,858	7,942	196

**APPENDIX
V**

**TENURE BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER
MOVED INTO UNIT, 2000**

PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION	OWNER OCCUPIED	RENTER OCCUPIED	OCCUPIED UNITS
1999 TO MARCH 2000	4,636	12,399	17,035
1995 TO 1998	10,795	8,185	18,980
1990 TO 1994	7,204	2,596	9,800
1980 TO 1989	8,063	1,229	9,292
1970 TO 1979	5,850	239	6,089
1969 OR EARLIER	6,217	199	6,416
TOTAL	42,765	24,847	67,612

**CITY OF AMARILLO
VARIOUS HOUSING QUALITY INDICATORS, 2000**

APPENDIX W

CITY WATER CONNECTION*	#	71,076
CITY SEWAGE DISPOSAL*	#	63,963
HOUSE HEATING FUEL**		67,612
UTILITY GAS		52,524
BOTTLED, TANK, OR LP GAS		534
ELECTRICITY		14,325
FUEL OIL, KEROSENE, ETC.		6
COAL OR COKE		8
WOOD		0
SOLAR ENERGY		0
OTHER FUEL		64
NO FUEL USED		151
KITCHEN FACILITIES*		72,332
COMPLETE KITCHEN FACILITIES		71,635
NO COMPLETE KITCHEN FACILITIES		697
TELEPHONE**		67,612
WITH TELEPHONE		65,294
NO TELEPHONE		2,318
PLUMBING FACILITIES*		72,332
COMPLETE		71,818
INCOMPLETE		514

* Year -round housing units

** Occupied housing units

Data obtained from City Utilities since Census 2000 does not furnish this information.

This page has intentionally been left blank

This page has intentionally been left blank