

STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTIES OF POTTER §
AND RANDALL §
CITY OF AMARILLO §

On the 1st day of November, 2010, the Zoning Board of Adjustments of the City of Amarillo met in a work session in Room 306, third floor of City Hall, at 4:00 PM to review agenda items, then convened in regular session at 4:30 PM in the Commission Chambers on the third floor of City Hall, 509 East 7th Avenue, Amarillo, Texas, with the following members present:

VOTING MEMBERS	PRESENT	NO. MEETINGS HELD	NO. MEETINGS ATTENDED
Tom Higley, Chairman	Yes	82	71
Matt Thomas	Yes	26	23
Sandera Dear-DeWeese	Yes	18	13
Richard Walton	No	6	4
ALTERNATE MEMBERS			
Larry Mills	Yes	13	11
Wayne Osteen	Yes	14	10
Betty Ferrell	Yes	13	9

ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBERS:
 Cris Valverde, Senior Planner
 Karon Watkins, Recording Secretary

Chairman Higley opened the meeting, established a quorum, recited the procedural rules and conducted the consideration of the following items in the order presented. Cris Valverde, Senior Planner read the staff reports and gave the recommendations for each item.

ITEM 1: Approval of the minutes of the October 14, 2010 meeting

A motion to approve the minutes of the November 1, 2010 meeting was made by Board Member Dear-DeWeese, seconded by Board Member Osteen and carried unanimously.

ITEM 2: V-01-10

Locations: 7404 SW 45th Ave.
 8772 S Coulter St.
 3625 S Soncy Rd.
 Applicant: City of Amarillo
 Variance Requested: From Section 4-2-9 G, (3) of the Municipal Code (Sign Ordinance) regarding the setback of Monument signs.

Mr. Valverde stated the City of Amarillo's variance request from the minimum setback requirements as relating to monument signs placed at the three (3) locations listed above. Mr. Valverde stated the City of Amarillo is of the opinion that allowing monument signs of 120sqft. or less to be placed up to the property line, but in no case closer to 10ft. from the back of curb would not adversely affect the land use pattern of the area; and it appears that the variance will be of no material detriment to the public safety or welfare or injury to the use, enjoyment or value of the property in the vicinity and recommended approval of the variance as submitted. Chairman Higley called for a motion. A motion to approve V-10-02 as the variance would not adversely affect the land use pattern of the area; and the variance will be of no material detriment to the public safety or welfare or cause injury to the use of the adjoining property was made by Board Member Mills, seconded by Board Member Osteen and carried unanimously.

ITEM 3: Public Forum: Time is reserved for any citizen to comment on City zoning or planning concerns; however, the Commission can take no action on any issue raised.

No one spoke. The meeting was adjourned at 4:42 PM.

Cris Valverde, Senior Planner
Zoning Board of Appeals